

# **Report to Members**

## **Mallow Electoral Area Local Area Plan Preliminary Public Consultation & Other Issues**

**June 2010**

**Document Verification**  
**Page 1 of 1**

<b>Job Title</b> Local Area Plan Review						
<b>Document Title:</b> Report to Members - Mallow Electoral Area Local Area Plan: Preliminary Public Consultation & Other Issues (June 2010)						
<b>Document Ref:</b> n/a						
<b>Revision</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Filename: Members Report for Mallow EA Committee Meeting June 2010</b>				
	01/06/10	<b>Description: Word Document</b>				
			<b>Prepared by</b>	<b>Drawn by</b>	<b>Checked by</b>	<b>Approved by</b>
		<i>Name</i>	FG	-	PG	AH

This report focuses on the submissions and observations received from the public following publication of an Outline Strategy for Mallow Electoral Area which identified the critical planning issues and choices facing the Electoral Area in the future. The report summarises the outcome of this pre-draft public consultation which was carried out in line with S.20 (1) of the Planning & Development Acts 2000-2006 and will inform the preparation of the Draft Mallow Electoral Area Local Area Plan. Appendix A of the report includes a list of the submissions received relevant to the Electoral Area and Appendix B details the issues which arose at the Stakeholder meeting held in February 2010.

This report was circulated to the members of the Mallow Electoral Area Committee at a meeting on 03 June 2010. A second meeting has been arranged for the 23 June, 2010 to discuss the issues arising from the report.

## **Section 1 Electoral Area Context**

### **1.1 Main changes since the last plan**

**1.1.1** Subsequent to the adoption of the Mallow LAP 2005, the Mallow Special Local Area Plan, which covers Mallow Town and its environs, was adopted in 2007. Because the Special Local Area Plan for Mallow will remain in force until 2013, it has not been included in this review.

**1.1.2** The geographical extent of the Mallow Electoral Area has expanded since the 2005 Local Area Plan as a result of the general changes to the electoral area boundaries introduced in late 2008. A portion of land to the south-east of the Electoral Area (containing the Key Village of Watergrasshill and the village nucleus of Kildinan) has been included from the Fermoy EA. To the west of the Electoral Area an area containing the villages of Lyre and Cecilstown, along with the village nuclei of Nad and Ballyhass, has been transferred to the Mallow Electoral Area from the Kanturk Electoral Area.

**1.1.3** The most recent Census data for the Electoral Area registered an increase in population from 25,741 in 2002 to 28,906 in 2006 (12%). This overall growth trend masks wide disparities in the rate of overall growth between individual settlements. The hub town of Mallow grew by 15% while Buttevant recorded a population decline of -7% (from 987 in 2002 to 914 in 2006).

**1.1.4** Overall the population figures illustrate the rural nature of the electoral area with only 39% of the population being located in the main towns in 2006. The villages and rural areas grew by 12% between 2002 and 2006. The key village of Watergrasshill grew by 123% while population decline occurred in the second key village of Doneraile (-5%). The remaining fourteen villages and the rural areas experienced strong average growth in the order of 10%. Having regard to the significant uptake of new housing across the village network since 2006, it is likely that there has been further strong growth in the population of the villages in the intervening period.

### **1.2 Pressure/ Challenges for the future**

**1.2.1** The southern part of the Mallow Electoral Area (including the key village of Watergrasshill) is within the CASP Ring Strategic Planning Area defined in the County Development Plan 2009. Here, the CASP Update Study, completed in 2008, identified the need to moderate the high levels of growth evident in recent years in order to support the Ring Towns and to re balance the growth of this area with that of Metropolitan Cork.

**1.2.2** The northern part of the electoral area (including the Key Village of Doneraile) lies within the North Strategic Planning Area, defined in the County Development Plan 2009. This area is within the area of the North and West Cork Strategic Plan 2002 -2020, which aims to balance future population growth equally between the Main Towns and the Villages & Rural Areas.

1.2.3 The population targets for the Mallow Electoral Area provide for an increase in population of 12,123 persons /42% to 2020 and are in line with the principles of the North and West Cork Strategic Plan 2002- 2020 and the CASP Update Study 2008. The majority of this growth is being directed to the 'hub' town of Mallow in line with the National Spatial Strategy, and as provided for in the Mallow Special Local Area Plan. As the key driver of the electoral area the continued growth and development of Mallow is crucial to the development of the electoral area as a whole.

1.2.4 Within the remainder of the electoral area, growth of 2,364 is to be accommodated between the main town of Buttevant and the villages and rural areas.

1.2.5 The target for Buttevant is to increase its population by 64% in line with its status as a county town and its location within the Atlantic Corridor. Strengthening the commercial / employment base of the town is essential to achieving this population growth.

1.2.6 The villages and rural areas are targeted to grow by 10% in the 2006-2020 period. The key villages of Doneraile and Watergrasshill should account for a significant part of this growth. Growth in the smaller settlements (14 villages and 8 village nuclei) and rural areas needs to moderate in order to build up the larger settlements and reduce the extent of commuting. As indicated above, strong growth in housing has occurred in many of the villages since 2006, so this target population may already be close to being achieved.

Population	2006 Census	Growth 2006 – 2020	2020 Target
Mallow	10,241	9,759	20,000
Buttevant	914	587	1,501
Villages and Rural	17,751	1,777	19,528
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>28,906</b>	<b>12,123</b>	<b>41,029</b>

1.2.7 The Atlantic Gateways initiative launched in 2006 seeks to co-ordinate and focus development and infrastructure along a corridor linking the 'Gateway' cities and hub towns to develop a critical mass of population capable of competing with the Greater Dublin Region for future investment. Both Mallow and Buttevant lie within this Atlantic Corridor and should benefit from future investment in it.

1.2.8 The proposed M20 Motorway which will bypass the towns of Mallow and Buttevant is at an advanced stage of planning and a preliminary design for the route has been published. Subject to statutory procedures and the availability of funding the scheme could commence construction.

1.2.9 Allied to the challenge of increasing population is the challenge of increasing employment opportunities in order to retain the current population and attract additional population to the area. Achieving employment growth in Mallow in line with the Special Local Area Plan is critical to the economic future of the area. In support of this goal there is a need to ensure that sufficient and appropriate lands are identified for employment / commercial / retail uses in terms of their location, accessibility, servability and availability, especially in Buttevant and the key villages as appropriate.

1.2.10 At an environmental level there is a need to balance development with regard to the sustainable environmental capacities of the receiving environment. In particular the Blackwater Valley is a key natural resource for the Region and safeguards will need to be put into place to protect its important habitats.

## Section 2 Baseline Environment

### 2.1 Introduction

**2.1.1.** This section of the report gives an indication of the possible significant environmental effects which need to be considered when preparing the draft local area plan. While the Environmental Report, which will accompany the publication of the draft plan, will give more specific detail on the agreed environmental receptors, the purpose of this section is to briefly highlight the environmental issues which will have the greatest effect on the objectives of the draft Plan and its implementation within the Mallow Electoral Area. The preparation of the Environmental Report will also require close consultation with both external and internal bodies including the Environment Directorate of the Council, who have prepared an outline of the waste management issues relevant to the County. It is important to note that, in many cases, the environmental effects will have trans-boundary effects, to other electoral areas and even other counties and they need to be considered in this light.

### 2.2 River Catchments and Water Quality

**2.2.1.** The Water Framework Directive (WFD) applies to rivers, lakes, groundwater, and coastal waters. The Directive requires a co-ordinated approach to water management in respect of whole river basins with a view to maintaining high status of waters where it exists, preventing any deterioration in the existing status of waters and achieving at least "good status" in relation to all waters by 2015.

**2.2.2.** Within the South West River Basin Management Plan which covers Cork and Kerry, the Mallow Electoral Area is covered by three water management unit action plans. It is through these action plans that the water quality of a particular river catchment is reviewed and monitored. The Blackwater Water Management Unit Action Plan includes the Mallow area, the Blackwater-Awbeg Water Management Unit Action Plan includes Buttevant and Doneraile, while the Blackwater -Bride Water Management Unit Action Plan includes Watergrasshill.

**2.2.3.** The Awbeg river in Buttevant forms part of the Blackwater River Candidate Special Area of Conservation under the Habitats Directive. The EPA has identified the water quality of this section of the Awbeg river as being "poor" and the South West River Basin District Management Plan has identified the requirement for this to be improved to "good" status by 2015. There are similar requirements regarding ground water in the area. Future development proposals for Buttevant Town will need to be mindful of any potential negative impacts on water quality.

### 2.3 Water Supply

#### *Mallow*

**2.3.1** The Mallow / Ballyvinter Regional Water Supply Scheme is currently at the planning stage. Phase 1 of the scheme including development of a borehole and provision of trunk mains has been approved by the Department of the Environment and is awaiting sanction by the Department of Finance (estimated value of proposed works now two and a half million euro.).

#### *Buttevant*

**2.3.2** Buttevant is supplied with water from the Charleville Water Supply Scheme and this scheme is currently operating at capacity. However proposed district metering and leak detection / repair works in the future should result in increased available capacity. A detailed assessment of the water supply will be undertaken as part of the preparation of the Environmental Report.

#### *Watergrasshill*

**2.3.3** The Watergrasshill Water Supply Scheme secured funding under the WSIP 2010-2012 and is under construction.

*Doneraile*

2.3.4 Doneraile is supplied by water from the Doneraile/Shanballymore Water Supply Scheme and the supply is adequate to meet the development needs of the village. The source of this scheme is a spring located in Clogher.

*Villages*

2.3.5 All of the remaining fourteen villages are supplied by public water supply.

**2.4 Waste Water Treatment***Buttevant*

2.4.1 The municipal wastewater treatment plan in Buttevant has recently been upgraded and has adequate treatment capacity to cater for future development.

*Key villages of Doneraile and Watergrasshill*

2.4.2 The Waste Water Treatment Plants in the key villages of Doneraile and Watergrasshill are also in a position to accept influent and have sufficient capacity for modest future growth.

*Villages*

2.4.3 There are a large number of settlements within the Electoral Area which require investment in wastewater treatment facilities. The treatment plants in the villages of Ballyclough, Churchtown and Shanballymore can only cater for limited future development.

2.4.4. Limited development has taken place in Killavullen and some capacity exists in this treatment plant. Insufficient existing capacity exists in the villages of Dromahane, Castletownroche, Bweeng, Cecilstown, Lombardstown and these settlements are not in a position to accept additional influent.

2.4.5. The treatment plant in Lis Carroll discharges to the Awbeg River (a protected area) and is not in a position to accept influent and the village of Lombardstown requires a new treatment plant as the existing septic tank is overloaded and discharges untreated into the River Blackwater.

2.4.6. The treatment plant in Lyre has minor capacity available and presently discharges to a percolation area. The plant is currently underutilised and is therefore not functioning adequately (construction of the estate has stopped). Glantane is served by a private treatment plant that cannot accept additional influent but a new public plant is planned.

2.4.7. The assimilative capacity of the various receiving waters to which all the treatment plants ultimately discharge will greatly influence the development potential of these settlements into the future and in some areas this may be a limiting factor.

**2.5 Waste Management**

2.5.1 The Waste Management Plan 2004 for Cork County, which sets out the requirements for waste management, is currently under review. The current plan identified a need for a Civic Amenity Site in Mallow which is nearing completion but it is uncertain when this will be operational. The nearest Civic Amenity Site is the Millstreet Recycling Centre/ Civic Amenity site which serves the North Cork Division and accepts a wide range of goods to be recycled (approx. 34 different types of materials for recycling at present). The Macroom Civic Amenity site also serves the south-western part of this Electoral Area.

2.5.2. There are ten bring sites within the electoral area, three of which are located within Mallow town. The other sites are located in Buttevant, Doneraile, Watergrasshill, Churchtown, Castletownroche, Killavullen, Shanballymore.

## 2.6 Nature Conservation & Habitat

**2.6.1.** Within the Electoral Area, there are a number of sites designated for their biodiversity value under the European Habitats Directive and national legislation. Some sites are also covered by specific objectives of the Cork County Development Plan 2009.

**2.6.2.** The River Blackwater is probably the most significant and is a Candidate Special Area of Conservation given its significance for the occurrence of rare or threatened European habitats and populations of plant and animal species listed in Annex I and II of the EU Habitats Directive. It is also of high conservation value for the population of bird species that use it and accordingly is subject to Special Protection Area designation under the EU Bird's Directive. The Blackwater River is also a proposed Natural Heritage Area which gives protection to the River under national legislation. Further policies to protect the County's environment and heritage are contained within the County Development Plan and reinforce the importance of the above designations.

**2.6.3.** There are eleven proposed Natural Heritage Areas in the Mallow Electoral Area including three in the Blackwater Valley, three in the Awbeg Valley, the Ballyhoura Mountains, Ballintlea Wood, Kilcolman Bog, Ballinvonear Pond and Eagle Lough.

**2.6.4.** There is one candidate Special Area of Conservation in the electoral area namely the Blackwater River and its tributaries. There is one Special Protection Area in the electoral area, Kilcolman Bog, which is important from an ornithological perspective. Both of these sites are included within the Natura 2000 sites and will also be assessed by the Appropriate Assessment of the plan.

**2.6.5.** Aside from those areas protected by National or European legislation, the plan area contains a wide range of habitats including watercourses, agricultural land, hedgerows, wetlands and areas of woodland that provide natural habitats to a variety of species. These areas are of significant value to the biodiversity of the area and provide many benefits to the both the local population and visitors.

## 2.7 Other Environmental Considerations

**2.7.1.** One of the major environmental issues which needs careful consideration is the effect of flooding on the electoral area. This will be assessed through the preparation of the Environmental Report and having regard to provisions of The Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines for Local Authorities (2009).

### *Landscape*

**2.7.2.** The landscape of the Mallow EA is dominated by the Fertile Plain Area, which forms part of the 'Golden Vale', but also includes other landscape types such as the Fissured Marginal and Forested Rolling Upland Areas and Fissured Fertile Middleground.

**2.7.3.** The following Scenic Routes are either fully or partially within the aforementioned landscape types in the Mallow EA;

- Scenic Route No. S11 - Local Road at Carrigacunna through Nagle Mountains to Ross River Valley to Fiddane Bridge. Views of Nagle Mountains. The route runs through Landscape Type 5 (Fertile Plain with Mooreland Ridge) & Type 13b (Valleyed Marginal Middleground).
- Scenic Route No. S12 - Local Road between Knuttery and Bottlehill. Views of Rolling Landscape. This route runs through Landscape Type 10b (Fissured Fertile Middleground).
- Scenic Route No. S13 - Local Road from Craig Cross Roads to County Boundary. Views of the Ballyhoura Mountains & the Awbeg Valley. Route runs through Landscape Type 5 (Fertile Plain with Mooreland Ridge).
- Scenic Route No. S14 - N72 National Secondary Route between Mallow and Roskeen Bridge. Views of the Blackwater Valley. Route runs through Landscape Type 5 (Fertile Plain with Mooreland Ridge).

### **Geology**

**2.7.4** A List of important geological features within the County have been set out in Chapter 3 of the Cork County Development Plan 2009 with the intention of maintaining the conservation value of these features or areas of geological interest. In the Mallow Electoral Area the list includes the following;

- Ballybeg Quarry (Buttevant) - Lower Carboniferous bryozoan reef facies.
- Ballyclough Quarry (Ballyclough) - Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy.
- Castlepook Cave (Castlepook South) - Karst – maze cave with rich fossil mammal fauna.

### **Scenic Landscapes**

**2.7.5** There are a number of Scenic Landscapes outlined in Volume 3 of the County Development Plan 2009 and the following scenic landscapes are located in the Mallow EA;

- Blackwater Valley which stretches from Banteer to Waterford.
- Forested area located to the South-east of Mallow
- Doneraile Court and Demense
- Castletownroche (to the south of the village)
- Liscarroll Castle
- Killavullen (to the south-west of the village and the Blackwater Valley to the east)

### **Archaeology & Material Assets**

**2.7.6** There are a number of historic settlements within the Electoral Area with significant historic and pre-historic assets, namely Doneraile Court and Demense, Buttevant Castle and Ballybeg Abbey, Liscarroll Castle, Ballyclough Castle, Bridgetown Priory or Abbey Castletownroche, Mourneabbey, Burton Park in Churchtown.

**2.7.7** The electoral area also has number of Architectural Conservation Areas which are defined in the County Development Plan 2009. These are areas that require protection from inappropriate development and may be subject to special planning controls. Architectural Conservation Areas exist within Buttevant, Castletownroche, Churchtown and Doneraile.

### **Record of Protected Structures**

**2.7.8** There are many buildings and structures of architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural, scientific, social or technical importance in the Mallow Electoral area. The principle mechanism for protection of these is through inclusion on the 'Record of Protected Structures' with approximately 133 structures (out of approx. 1000 throughout the county) included from the Mallow Electoral Area.



## Section 3 Principal Issues Raised in Submissions

### 3.1. Electoral Area Wide Issues

**3.1.1** A total of 50 submissions were received for the electoral Area, 38 of which related specifically to settlements within the electoral area (see Appendix A). Seven of the submissions related to specific issues in Buttevant, five refer to the Mallow area, nine referred to the key village of Watergrasshill and seven to the key village of Doneraile. The other areas to attract submissions were Cecilstown, Killavullen, Lisscarroll, Lombardstown and Newtownpothouse.

**3.1.2** The principle issues raised relate to the zoning of land for employment / commercial / industrial / mixed use development with a smaller number of requests of residential zoning. Requests for low density residential development and nursing homes are also notable within the electoral area. Infrastructural issues and the need for the Local Area Plans to commit to a programme of infrastructural provision has also been raised. In the smaller settlements there is concern about the legacy of large scale residential development and the need to deliver the supporting community facilities, sports facilities and open space.

**3.1.3** Dairygold have made a number of submissions covering the majority of their sites across the county highlighting the unique site and locational characteristics of their properties and their potential role in achieving rural economic development and diversification. A flexible approach to development is sought, reflecting the changing needs of the agricultural sector.

### 3.2 Principal Issues Raised in Relation to Settlements

**3.2.1 Buttevant :** The promotion of Buttevant to town status in the County Development Plan 2009 and the associated target increase in population and employment, together with proposed M20 bypass, gave rise to several submission for Buttevant. Three submissions identify sites proximate to the town centre for mixed uses, including retail warehousing, commercial, industrial and residential development.

**3.2.2** Two further submissions refer to the zoning of lands for nursing homes with one of the submissions referring to low density residential / retirement villages as an alternative option.

**3.2.3** A further comprehensive submission stresses the need to ensure that population growth in Buttevant is based on employment growth and identifies a need to identify new lands for employment use and review the suitability of lands currently zoned and the range of uses permissible on them. The need to develop the tourism potential of the town's heritage assets, and develop its amenities / townscape, are also stressed.

**3.2.4** Other submissions refer to significant deficiencies in the town's community infrastructure such as the lack of a public town park and public walkway along the river. The need for funding and support from the local authority in order to make the public park/walkway a reality is also identified.

**3.2.5 Mallow (hinterland):** Five submissions were made in relation to Mallow area. One submission relates to the zoning of land for low-medium density residential development within the Greenbelt.

**3.2.6** Two submissions refer to matters covered by the Mallow SLAP and one of these also raised issues in relation to the timely provision of infrastructure, and the need for the Local Area Plans to commit to a comprehensive and effective programme of infrastructural provision.

**3.2.7** The fourth submission concerns development at Longueville House, while the final submission refers to a Dairygold site ( Munster AI) at Ballyvorisheen just 4km north-west of Mallow and adjoining a proposed interchange on the M20. The submission seeks the rezoning of the lands for commercial development.

**3.2.8 Doneraile :** Six of the submissions relate to the O-05 open space site in Doneraile and four of these seek the protection of the amenities and heritage of the area known as Rockforest / Rock Wood and its attendant demesne walls and features. These submissions question the appropriateness of the current zoning objective O-05 as outlined in the Local Area Plan 2005, which allows for some residential development. The submissions indicate that the site is unsuitable for development as it would destroy the amenities of this wooded area and cause damage to adjoining properties.

**3.2.9** Two contrasting submissions in relation to the O-05 site request that this area of land be zoned for nursing home/residential use in the proposed LAP.

**3.2.10** A further submission requests that the O-04 lands would not be de-zoned.

**3.2.11 Watergrasshill:** Watergrasshill as a settlement attracted the most submissions in the electoral area. Six submissions refer to residential development, either seeking additional new residential zonings, changes to current zonings or that no further residential development would be permitted until the provision of community facilities has caught up with the needs of the existing population. The need for the provision of some low density serviced site type developments in the village has also been highlighted having regard to the restrictions that apply to one off housing within the hinterland of the village (rural housing control zone).

**3.2.12** Three of the submissions relate to the zoning of land for industrial, commercial / distribution uses. Two of the submissions refer to land at Meenane, east of the motorway, while one of the sites is located adjacent to the village on the western side of the motorway.

**3.2.13** Other issues raised include the scale of development that has taken place in the village to date and the need for supporting services to catch up with existing development, continued high traffic levels through the village due to the tolls on the N8, lack of parking provision in the centre of the village, need for environmental improvements and better public transport services. Reference is also made to the need to protect the local aquifer.

**3.2.14 Cecilstown:** Two submissions were received, one of which seeks low density residential zoning of lands within the development boundary and the other refers to Ballygiblin Manor and estate, its future role in the settlement network and requests that it be identified (along with Ballyhass Lakes) as an 'Other Location'.

**3.2.15 Killavullen:** Two submissions were received. One of the submissions seeks the zoning of land for high or medium density residential uses. The second submission is from Killavullen Community Council and outlines their aims and hopes for the village including; traffic calming measures; pedestrian crossing to the National School; development of a community centre; improving derelict sites; make provision for sheltered housing and the completion of River /Railway amenity walk.

**3.2.16 Lisscarroll:** Two submissions were received in relation to Lisscarroll which express a number of concerns in relation to the development of the village i.e. much of the residentially zoned land remains undeveloped and has "missed the boom", many houses lack connections to the public sewer and public water infrastructure is inadequate. The need for road improvements, traffic calming and drainage of the Awbeg River are also highlighted together with the potential of Lisscarroll Castle as a tourist attraction for the area.

**3.2.17 Lombardstown:** Two submissions were received. One of the submissions seeks the extension of the development boundary to accommodate residential development (serviced sites). The second submission refers to two Dairygold properties, the Co-Op site and the Mill ( Feed Laboratory) and seeks extension of the development boundary to cover the full extent of both sites.

**3.2.18 Newtownpohouse:** One submission was received which requests that lands located within the development boundary for Newtownpohouse, be specifically zoned for employment uses to provide for employment/ light industry/ warehouse development.

### 3.3. Other issues arising

#### 3.3.1 Other general submissions raise a number of pertinent issues including the need to :

- a. zone land for educational, sports and recreational use.
- b. support the use of public transport and reduced journey times by maximising free flow of traffic through settlements and making provision within outlying towns, for the overnight parking of buses for early morning departures.
- c. reflect and safeguard the strategic role of national roads and associated interchanges / junctions catering for the safe and efficient movement of major inter urban and inter regional traffic and to use the development contributions scheme to fund necessary road infrastructure, especially as applied to the proposed M20 road scheme.
- d. support the role of agriculture and food production by encouraging people into these sectors and ensuring planning policy is supportive of farm enterprise and the retention of and investment in, rural services and infrastructure.
- e. develop a stronger working relationship with the Construction sector to ensure that the local area plans are capable of prompt implementation once adopted and a number of outstanding issues can be addressed – provision of infrastructure & child care facilities, stronger alignment with the market considerations, resolution of a number of “planning gain” requirements etc.
- f. adopt a strategic approach to the protection of wildlife species and habitats and protected sites.
- g. review policy on the provision of childcare facilities.
- h. consider inclusion of a zoning matrix in all local area plans to provide greater clarity for development management process.
- i. maximise the accessibility of Cork Harbour as a public recreation area and provide the necessary supporting infrastructure to achieve this.
- j. address development in rural areas and develop integrated, holistic and multidimensional approaches to sustainable rural housing and rural development.

## Section 3 Overall Approach to the Draft Local Area Plan

### 4.1 Main Policy Issues

#### Ministerial Guidance

##### Sustainable Development in Urban Areas

**4.1.1** Published by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government in May 2009, these guidelines update and revise the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Residential Densities (1999), and provide further guidance on the appropriate scale of development in cities, larger towns, smaller towns and villages.

**4.1.2** Concerns have been raised about the impact of rapid development and expansion on the character of smaller towns and villages through poor urban design and particularly the impact of large housing estates with a standardised urban design approach on the character of towns and villages that have developed slowly and organically over time. In order for these settlements to thrive and succeed, their development must strike a balance in meeting the needs and demands of modern life but in a way that is sensitive and responsive to the past. The Guidelines suggest a number of key points in this regard:

- Development must normally be plan led;
- New Development should contribute to compact towns and villages; giving priority to local trips by walking and cycling, prioritising the re-use of brown field development land and other underused lands or through the development of acceptable green field sites;
- Higher Densities are appropriate in certain locations; increased densities should be supported following the guidance of National Planning Policy (National Spatial Strategy / Atlantic Corridor) and also as a means of reinforcing the street pattern or assisting in the redevelopment of backlands while taking care to protect the architectural and environmental qualities of the settlement;
- Offering alternatives to urban generated housing; in some limited circumstances, notably where pressure for development of single homes in rural areas is high, proposals for lower densities of development may be considered acceptable at locations on serviced land within the environs of the town or village in order to offer people, who would otherwise seek to develop a house in an unserviced rural area, the option to develop in a small town or village where services are available and within walking and cycling distance;
- Generally, the scale of new development should be in proportion to existing development; because of the scale of smaller towns and the villages, it is generally preferable that their development proceeds on the basis of a number of well integrated sites within and around the urban centre. The Local Area Plans will provide guidance on the level of residential development appropriate to each settlement and settlement type.
- The scale of new residential schemes for development should be in proportion to the pattern and grain of existing development. Because of the scale of smaller towns and villages, it is generally preferable that overall expansion proceeds on the basis of a number of well integrated sites within and around the town/village centre in question rather than focusing on rapid growth driven by one very large site. Above all, it is the function of local area plans and any supplementary local development frameworks to make recommendations regarding the appropriate scale of overall development and any individual new housing schemes and to match the scale and grain of existing development within an overall development boundary.
- Local authorities have a vital role to play in encouraging development through the provision of essential services.

#### **Flood Risk and the Planning System**

**4.1.3** The Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines for Local Authorities, were published in November 2009. The overall policy objective of the guidelines is:

*To minimise the national level of flood risk to people, businesses, infrastructure and the environment, through the identification and management of existing, and particularly potential future, flood risks in an integrated, proactive and catchment based manner.*

**4.1.4** A flood risk assessment (FRA) can be undertaken either over a large area or for a particular site to identify whether and to the degree to which flood risk is an issue, to identify flood zones (if not already available), to inform decisions in relation to zoning and planning applications; and to develop appropriate flood risk mitigation and management measures for development sited in flood risk areas.

**4.1.5** Flood risk assessments can be undertaken at a range of scales relevant to the planning process. The key scales for FRA are: Regional (for regional planning guidelines); Strategic (for city or county development plans or local area plans); Site specific (for master plans and individual planning applications).

**4.1.6** Flood risk assessments should (be):

- Proportionate to the risk scale, nature and location of the development;
- Undertaken by competent people, such as a suitably qualified hydrologist, flood risk management professional or specialist water engineer;
- Undertaken as early as possible in the particular planning process;
- Supported by appropriate data and information, including historical information on previous events, but focusing more on predictive assessment of less frequent or more extreme events, taking the likely impacts of climate change into account;
- Clearly state the risk to people and development and how that will be managed over the lifetime of the development;
- Focused on addressing the impact of a change in land use or development on flood risk elsewhere, ensuring that any such change or development must not add to and should, where practicable, reduce flood risk;
- Consider the vulnerability of those that could occupy the development, including arrangements for safe access and egress; and
- Consider the modification to flood risk that infrastructure such as raised defences, flow channels, flood-storage areas and other artificial features provide, together with the consequences of their failure.

## **4.2 County Development Plan 2009**

### **4.2 Population Targets and Requirement for Zoned Land**

**4.2.1** The Outline Strategy for this electoral area sets out the 2006-2020 population target at 41,029, representing a growth of 12,123 persons on the 2006 population figure. Excluding Mallow because it is covered by the Mallow Special Local Area Plan, the growth target for the remainder of the electoral area to 2020 is for an additional 21,029 persons. This would equate to an increase of 1,572 households. In order to allow for a proportion of vacancies, and frictional losses in the market a conversion rate of 1.3 was used which gives a new overall rate of housing in the electoral area of 2,044 new houses. Taking into account the units which were built in the period 2006-2010 and the outstanding planning permissions, it is estimated that the net additional amount of new housing required in the electoral area between 2010 – 2020 is 320 units, as illustrated in Table 2.0.

**4.2.2** The column headed “additional new housing development required to 2020” gives an indication of the required housing needed to fulfil the population targets for each settlement type. In the case of the villages, because there is already a large commitment in terms of outstanding planning permissions, there is no requirement for further housing to achieve the population target.

Table 2 : New house requirements to 2020

Settlement Name	Population Growth 2006-2020	Dwelling Unit Growth 2006-2020	Already Built 2006-2010* (D-C X 0.8)	Outstanding Planning Permissions	Additional new housing development required to 2020.
<b>Main Settlement</b>					
<i>Buttevant</i>	587	403	220	53	130
<b>Key Villages</b>					
<i>Watergrasshill</i>	864	800	246	364	190
<i>Doneraile</i>					
<b>Villages and Rural</b>	913	841	616	853	0
<b>Total</b>	2364	2044	1,082	1,270	320

\* Dwellings 2010-Dwellings 2005 X 0.8, includes units which are vacant and under construction

### Economy and Employment

**4.2.3** The County Development Plan 2009 sets out targets for the delivery of new jobs to support the population growth proposed for the county. As part of this strategy, the number of jobs in the Mallow Electoral Area is envisaged to increase by approximately 5,911. About 20% of these new jobs (including jobs in the leisure & tourism sector) are likely to be in rural locations rather than the main urban areas. Mallow will continue to be the main centre of employment within the electoral area in the future given its status as a 'hub' town on the Atlantic Corridor and an integrated employment centre and has a target jobs growth of approximately 5,000.

**4.2.4** In the Mallow Electoral Area it will be important to give some consideration to the future suitability of individual sites currently zoned for business development taking account of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area as a whole and the need to provide a choice of sites.

**4.2.5** It is important that this jobs target is achieved in order to help sustain the level of growth in the electoral area and reduce longer distance commuting. While this is mainly achieved by locating new employment areas within and adjacent to the main settlements, it is also important to help sustain the rural hinterlands by encouraging smaller scale development in the key village and other villages, where appropriate.

## **5.0 Recommended Approach in the Main Town of Buttevant**

**5.1.1.** Buttevant is located midway between Mallow and Charleville and is the smallest of the north Cork towns with a recorded population of 914 persons in 2006. Although the overall housing stock in the town increased by 45% between 2001 and 2010<sup>1</sup>, the town has experienced gradual population decline, illustrating the effects of falling average household size. Buttevant was promoted to a county town in the County Development Plan 2009 in recognition of its existing and proposed educational and service facilities and its location on the Atlantic Corridor.

**5.1.2** Buttevant has an ambitious population growth target of 1,501 to 2020 which will increase its population by 64%.

**5.1.3** Table 3.0 below outlines the current housing stock figures for Buttevant and indicates an increase in the order of 350 units in the period since 2001. There is currently an estimated 1,082 occupied units within the town and a further 14 units under construction. While the number of vacant units appears small they are concentrated in one small housing development (Town Heights).

<sup>1</sup> Based on data from the geo – directory 2001-2010.

Planning permission exists for the construction of a further 53 units, also within the same Town Heights development.

Settlement	Dwellings 2001	Dwellings 2005	Dwellings 2010	Under Construction 2010	Vacant Units 2010	Outstanding planning permissions	Potential Housing stock 2020
Buttevant	732	842	1082	14	14	53	1163

5.1.4 To accommodate the target increase in population by 2020 the outline strategy identified the need to provide an additional 403 units in the period 2006-2020. By subtracting from this target the number of units already built between 2006-2010 (including vacant and under construction) and the figure for outstanding permissions, it is clear that there is an outstanding requirement for 130 units to 2020 (See Table 4 below).

5.1.5 There is capacity available in the WWTP to cater for the scale of development proposed and adequate water supply at present.

Settlement	New House Construction Target to 2020	Already Built 2006-2020* (includes units which are vacant & under construction)	Outstanding planning permissions	Additional New Development Required to 2020
Buttevant	403	220	53	130

5.1.6 Buttevant has a large development boundary which includes zoned and unzoned lands. There is sufficient residentially zoned land remaining to cater for this target level of growth and it is therefore not necessary to identify additional lands for housing.

5.1.7 Indeed the extensive areas of unzoned land within the development boundary is well in excess of the area likely to be needed for future development and some of the land is quiet remote from the core of the town. Whilst it will be important to retain some surplus capacity in the supply of zoned land in Buttevant to provide flexibility and to offer a more sustainable alternative to individual housing in rural areas, consideration may need to be given to reducing the development boundary to exclude some land or zoning some of it for agricultural uses.

5.1.8 It is essential that the Draft Plan identify phasing and sequencing priorities for residentially zoned lands to guide future development within the town. Phasing priority will be influenced by a number of factors including the availability of services and the provisions of the Guidelines for Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas.

5.1.9 One of the main challenges for Buttevant will be to increase the demand for housing and the local area plan needs to look at ways of achieving this including improving the overall quality of life on offer, offering a variety of housing choices (including self build options) and expanding the economic base of the town.

## Employment

5.1.10 Buttevant has a small but important employment base, recording 413 jobs in 2006. The target for 2020 is to increase this by 200. Strengthening the economy and employment capacity of Buttevant is essential if it is to fulfil its role as a county town, compete with other county towns for investment and maximise the potential offered by its location on the Atlantic Corridor.

5.1.11. Only 3ha of employment land have been utilised since 2003 and 32ha of zoned land remains available for development. The suitability of this land to cater for the needs of the town will be considered in preparing the Draft Plan and the range of uses permissible on the lands will also be reviewed. Unzoned lands and brownfield sites are also available centrally within the town for employment uses if necessary.

### Town Centre / Retail

5.1.12 Buttevant has a very limited retail function at present and provision needs to be made for the expansion of the retail base of the town. There is ample scope to achieve this within the current development boundary.

### Community and Educational facilities

5.1.13 Lands have been identified within Buttevant for the provision of new educational facilities but the site remains undeveloped to date. The town has a modern equipped playground but lacks a formal public open space such as a town park. The lack of a town park needs to be addressed in the Draft Plan. A designated town park in conjunction with a riverside walk would add to the amenities of the town.

5.1.14 The Draft plan will examine the need to additional community facilities.

### Tourism Potential / Environmental Improvements

5.1.15. Buttevant enjoys an attractive setting on the Awbeg river within the Blackwater Valley and has an interesting history, a planned layout and fine townscape with many buildings and landmarks including the Franciscan Friary, Ballybeg Abbey and Buttevant Castle. The core of the town is designated as an architectural conservation area. These assets need to be managed and put to work in developing the potential of the town as a tourist destination, in conjunction with Doneraile and the wider North Cork area.

5.1.16. Through traffic also impacts on the amenity of the town and there is a need for environmental improvements and town centre regeneration. Completion of the proposed M20 will offer opportunities in this regard.

## 6.0 Villages & Smaller Settlements

### Introduction

6.1.1 Within the Mallow Electoral Area, and below the level of main town, there are 24 other smaller settlements. These comprise of 2 Key Villages, 14 Villages and 8 Village Nuclei. Outside of these settlements is a large rural hinterland.

6.1.2 The population of the villages and rural area stood at 17,751 in 2006, an increase of 12% over the 2002 level. Within this growth figure there were considerable disparities in growth across the villages. The future growth strategy for the electoral area, as set out in the County Development Plan 2009, envisages growth in the order of 1,777 persons to 2020, equating to a population of 19,528 across the villages and rural areas by 2020. Having regard to the pace of development experienced since 2006, this target level of population may already have been reached or exceeded.



### Key villages

**6.1.3** The key village of Watergrasshill is located in the CASP Ring Strategic Planning Area and Doneraile is located in North Cork Strategic Planning Area. The changes experienced by the two key villages varied considerably as Watergrasshill, with direct access to the M8 motorway and located only 14km from the city, experienced a five fold increase in its housing stock between 2001-2010 with a population increase of 123%. Doneraile, also a key village located approximately 48km from the city and 11km from Mallow, experienced a 25% increase in its housing stock but saw its population decline by 5%.

Settlement	Dwellings 2001	Dwellings 2005	Dwellings 2010	Under Construction 2010	Vacant Units 2010	Outstanding planning permissions	Potential Housing stock 2020
Watergrasshill	69	222	434	5	20	123	582
Doneraile	304	343	392	0	12	241	645
<b>Key Villages Total</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>826</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>1227</b>

**6.1.4.** Table 5.0 above outlines the current occupied housing stock figures within the key villages and illustrates the growth of housing in Watergrasshill, in comparison to Doneraile. There is currently an estimated 826 units occupied within the two key villages and a further 5 units under construction. While the number of vacant units is not high, the trend is noticeable within both settlements. Planning permission exists for the construction of a further 364 units.

**6.1.5.** To accommodate the target increase in population by 2020 the outline strategy identified the need to provide an additional 800 units in the period 2006-2020. By subtracting from this target the number of units built between 2006-2010 (including vacant and under construction), and the outstanding planning permissions, it is clear that there is an outstanding requirement for 190 units to 2020 (See Table 6 below).

Settlement	New House Construction Target to 2020	Already Built 2006-2020* (includes units which are vacant & under construction)	Outstanding planning permissions	Additional New Development Required to 2020
<b>Key Villages</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>190</b>

**6.1.6** There is adequate zoned residential land remaining in Watergrasshill to accommodate approximately 210 units and in Doneraile to accommodate approximately 300 units (in addition to the units that already have permission). There is therefore more than enough zoned land available within both key villages to meet the population growth target to 2020 and it is not necessary to identify additional residential lands.

**6.1.7** Doneraile is notable in terms of having significant areas of unzoned land within its boundary. This land supply is well in excess of that likely to be required and the Draft Plan should consider reducing the extent of the development boundary to a level consistent with the scale of growth envisaged and sustainability criteria or, to including new objectives in the plan to ensure that development occurs in an orderly and cohesive manner.

**6.1.8** It would be desirable in both key villages to make some provision, within the lands that are already zoned, for self build options / serviced sites, to ensure a choice of development options is available and to provide an alternative to one of housing in the countryside.

**6.1.9** The Wastewater Treatment Plants in both of the key villages are in a position to accept influent and have sufficient capacity for modest future growth. However, both treatment plants discharge to tributaries of the Blackwater, namely the Bride and the Awbeg, and there are assimilative capacity issues affecting the plant in Watergrasshill.

### Strategy for Key Villages.

**6.1.10.** One of the key aspects of the Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas is that development in smaller towns and villages must be plan lead and that the plan should ensure that the scale of new residential development is in proportion to the pattern and grain of existing development. To this end it is the function of a Local Area Plan to "make recommendations on the appropriate overall scale of development, and any individual new housing schemes and to match the scale and grain of existing development within an overall development boundary". With this in mind, it is proposed to include objectives in the Draft Plan for each key village which reflect the provisions of the guidelines and outline the overall scale of development envisaged (i.e. an upper development threshold), together with a maximum size for individual housing estates.

### Employment

**6.1.11.** The role of the key villages in facilitating some limited employment uses will be considered in preparing the Draft Plan together with the need to identify additional lands for employment use. It is envisaged that a very modest amount of land needs to be identified adjacent to the village in Watergrasshill and this may be achieved by rezoning existing lands within the development boundary. Industrial lands in Doneraile remain undeveloped and the need for additional land will be considered in preparing the draft.

### Villages

**6.1.12.** Seven of the fourteen villages in the Electoral Area are located in CASP with the remaining seven villages located in the North Cork Strategic Planning Area. The information extracts from the geo-directory data in the table below reveal unprecedented growth as the number of units in the fourteen villages grew by over 100% between 2001 and 2010.

	Dwellings 2001	Dwellings 2005	Dwellings 2010	Under Construction 2010	Vacant Units 2010	Outstanding planning permissions	Potential Housing stock 2020
Villages total	792	1011	1676	28	56	853	2613

**6.1.13.** As illustrated in table 7 above, about 884 new dwellings were constructed in the villages between 2001 and 2010. This is equivalent to a doubling in the size of each village in 10 years. The villages of Churchtown, Dromahane and Bweeng experienced significant levels of development (on both zoned and unzoned lands). In addition, there is a substantial number of outstanding planning permissions in many of the villages, totalling 853 units for the villages as a whole. The Outline Strategy report published in January 2010 suggested that about 841 dwellings would be required in the villages and rural areas for the 2006-2010 period and therefore, if account is taken of dwellings already built 2006-2010, and the number of outstanding planning permissions, then the target has, in effect, already been exceeded.

**6.1.14.** The Draft Plan needs to respond to the fact that the 2020 growth target for the Villages and Rural Area has already been exceeded, and CASP Update has signalled the need to control population growth within the villages and rural areas of the CASP Ring. In addition, many villages are subject to significant infrastructural capacity issues. The Draft Plan will need to review zonings and development boundaries accordingly. It is considered that in future the villages are best placed to act as alternatives to one-off housing by promoting the development of serviced sites / self build options of or small scale schemes of an appropriate scale to the morphology and service availability of the settlement. In addition it would be appropriate, in keeping with the guidelines, for the Draft Plan to define an overall scale of development for each of the smaller villages and maximum size for individual developments.

#### **Village Nuclei**

**6.1.15.** There are 8 village nuclei in the Mallow EA. The village nuclei network has remained almost static over the past five years given their relative remoteness and lack of infrastructure. It is not envisaged that any significant growth will be allocated here for the future save for some housing to meet the indigenous demand.

**6.1.16.** General policies will be proposed regarding the optimum location for future housing within the development boundary. It is proposed to limit the scale of development within the village nuclei network to individual dwellings.

#### **Other Locations**

**6.1.17.** There are no Other Locations in the Mallow Electoral Area.

## Appendix A

## List of Submissions

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Ballyvorisheen (Townland)	5,650	Dairygold	<p>Dairygold seek a flexible approach to the uses that can be carried out on sites under its control with regard to the development and changing needs of the agricultural sector and the need for adaptability. It is suggested that such an approach might allow for the future development of sustainable enterprise centres, where several businesses are located in a rural location, while having ancillary uses and shared services. It is suggested that the Dairygold properties by virtue of their unique site and locational characteristics can assist in achieving strategic economic development objectives and economic diversification in rural areas and therefore merit the inclusion of specific development objectives in the LAP. It is suggested that development on these sites offers the opportunity to maintain and secure long-term economic development and diversification of existing enterprise within an existing employment area in accordance with LAP/CDP objectives.</p> <p>Ballyvorisheen: This site is located approximately 4km north west of Mallow on the northern side of the N73 Mallow to Mitchelstown Road. The site is currently occupied by Munster AI and is located within the Mallow Greenbelt. The submission claims that following the decision to proceed with the M20 Motorway, and the northern relief road at Oliver's Cross, this site which adjoins the interchange is a suitable location for development and should be rezoned for commercial uses to facilitate the potential relocation of a Co-Op Agri store to this location.</p> <p>This file is linked to 6,044, 6,045, 6,046, 6,047 &amp; 6,048</p>	The development of commercial / retail land uses at this location would raise several concerns. The site is within the greenbelt, on the far side of the proposed M20 motorway and adjoins an interchange.
Buttevant	5,125	Buttevant Heritage Group	<p>It is stated that the Buttevant Heritage Group have been actively involved in developing and highlighting the cultural wealth of the town as a potential tourist product for the benefit of the community. It is stated that since 2008 the group has succeeded in their application to the Walled Town Network. The submission acknowledges the plethora of historical buildings in the town, the variety of service facilities available and also indicates that approximately 30 different organisations/clubs exist to meet local pastime needs. It is submitted that major deficiencies exist in the town as follows; 1. There is no Public Park/Public Walk in the Town. 2. The Main Street looks shabby despite the setting up of an active Tidy Town Group established last year. The Main Street requires refurbishment including new footpaths, under grounding of services, traffic calming measures and landscaping. 3. A Link Road to the proposed M8 Motorway/Atlantic Corridor is required. The submission concludes by identifying an area of waste ground approx. 3ha in area adjoining the River and suitable for development as a park/walk given that these lands have the benefit of three existing lanes connecting the site to the Main Street of Buttevant. Funding and support shall be required from the local authority in order to make the public park/walk a reality.</p>	This submission has made a number of useful points which will be considered in detail in preparing the Draft Plan.
Buttevant	5,200	O'Connell, Liam	<p>This submission relates to a parcel of land, within the development boundary, to the north-east of Buttevant Town.</p>	There is a significant over supply of development land in

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Buttevant	5,748	Edwards, Alan	<p>The submission requests that the land be retained within the development boundary in the new LAP as it has access to services, is located near the proposed M2D and there is a strong need to strengthen the population base and the employment capacity of the Town.</p> <p>The submission concludes by stating that these lands would be very suitable for a low density residential development or service sites or a Nursing Home/Retirement Village. Submission identifies the need to increase employment and population levels within Buttevant. In this regard it is indicated that the water supply needs to be improved, direct motorway access needs to be reconsidered and the supply of employment land needs to be reviewed. It is suggested that the Castle Lands employment site (I-02) is constrained by sightline issues along its road frontage and that uses permitted on the I-01 site are too restrictive at "manufacturing only" and need to be revised to "mixed uses". Alternatively, additional employment land needs to be identified for the town. A rates free period should be considered for new businesses and broadband provision to the hinterlands needs to be accelerated. Discussion should be initiated between the council and the community / business owners on how to achieve the jobs target detailed in the Outline Strategy. LAP needs to support efforts by the community to build on the employment / tourism potential of the heritage of the town and public walks / parks provided to maximise exposure to the beauty of the town. Finally the submission notes that further population growth should be linked to employment growth, improvements are required to the main street and local footpaths need to be extended beyond the grotto, abbeys and graveyard.</p>	<p>Buttevant and it could be desirable to reduce the extent of the development boundary in the new Draft Plan.</p> <p>This submission has made a number of useful points which will be considered in detail in preparing the draft Plan.</p>
Buttevant	5,776	O'Flynn, David	<p>This submission relates to lands (15.5 ha) located to north-west of Buttevant, west of the railway line and outside the current development boundary. Site is divided into 2 parcels of land adjoining the R522 and to the north and south of this regional road. Submission seeks the rezoning of this land to facilitate the development of a nursing home. Submission states that demographic changes, including the population targets for Buttevant and its hinterland, and the decline in the number of nursing home beds available in the area support the need for the provision of such a facility. Site is close to railway station (closed) and can connect to town services.</p>	<p>There is likely to be sufficient land available within the current development boundary to meet the development needs of Buttevant.</p>
Buttevant	5,787	Condon, Martin	<p>This submission relates to lands to the north of Buttevant town centre, which are mostly located within the town boundary and are unzoned. Submission requests that the lands be zoned for mixed uses - retail warehousing, commercial or industrial use as this would assist in developing the retail and employment base of the town. Submission continues to detail some of the history of the holding including the fact that it was previously required for construction of an earlier design of the M20 which has now been superseded; previous plans to develop housing on the land were held up pending construction of the sewage treatment plant etc. Submission continues to state that OPW have now, in error, identified part of the lands as being at risk of flooding and this error has been repeated in the published Outline Strategy document. The flooding issues are explored in detail in the submission and it is stated that the lands the subject of this submission are not at risk of flooding. Submission requests that Council update and corrects its information in relation to the flooding status of these lands. Reference is also made in the submission to the possible potential for the development a riverside walk in conjunction with the development of these lands. Dairygold seek a flexible approach to the uses that can be carried out on sites under its control with regard to the development and changing needs of the agricultural sector</p>	<p>In preparing the new Draft Plan, the extent of the development boundary for Buttevant and the appropriate zoning objective for each area of land will be considered in detail.</p>
Buttevant	6,045	Dairygold Co-Operative Society Ltd.		<p>The need to identify a specific zoning objective for this site, which has</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Buttevant	6,046	Dairygold Co-Operative Society Ltd.	<p>and the need for adaptability. It is suggested that such an approach might allow for the future development of sustainable enterprise centres, where several businesses are located in a rural location, while having ancillary uses and shared services. It is suggested that the Dairygold properties by virtue of their unique site and locational characteristics can assist in achieving strategic economic development objectives and economic diversification in rural areas and therefore merit the inclusion of specific development objectives in the LAP. It is suggested that development on these sites offers the opportunity to maintain and secure long-term economic development and diversification of existing enterprise within an existing employment area in accordance with LAP/CDP objectives. Buttevant : This Dairygold Grain Store in Buttevant is located within the development boundary ( unzoned) , approximately one hundred metres off and to the east of the Main street (N20). The site comprises 1.075 acres, includes the grain store, a derelict building and several gain silos. The submission seeks the zoning of these lands for residential and mixed uses.This file is linked to 6,044, 6,046, 6,047, 6,048 &amp; 5650 Dairygold seek a flexible approach to the uses that can be carried out on sites under its control with regard to the development and changing needs of the agricultural sector and the need for adaptability. It is suggested that such an approach might allow for the future development of sustainable enterprise centres, where several businesses are located in a rural location, while having ancillary uses and shared services. It is suggested that the Dairygold properties by virtue of their unique site and locational characteristics can assist in achieving strategic economic development objectives and economic diversification in rural areas and therefore merit the inclusion of specific development objectives in the LAP. It is suggested that development on these sites offers the opportunity to maintain and secure long-term economic development and diversification of existing enterprise within an existing employment area in accordance with LAP/CDP objectives. Buttevant : This submission refers to the Dairygold Co-Op site (2 acres) located at the northern end of the town centre which has established retail and commercial uses. Submission requests that the site be formally zoned for residential and mixed uses.This file is linked to 5,650, 6,044, 6,045, 6,047 &amp; 6,048</p>	<p>an established use, will be explored when preparing the Draft Plan.</p> <p>The need to identify a specific zoning objective for this site, which has an established use, will be considered in preparing the new Draft Plan.</p>
Cecilstown	5,131	Cott, John	<p>This submission refers to lands within the development boundary of Cecilstown and requests that the low density zoning of the lands be retained as the landowner has plans to develop the lands for serviced residential sites. The submission states that the land is presently the subject of a planning application (Reg.No.09/6673).</p>	Noted.
Cecilstown	5,520	O'Donoghue Partnership	<p>(While Cecilstown has a development boundary, none of the lands within the boundary are formally zoned. Submission is factually incorrect in stating that the lands the subject of the submission are zoned for low density housing).</p> <p>This submission relates to the redevelopment of Ballygiblin Manor and estate and its future role in the development of the villages of Cecilstown and Castlemagner. The submission states that planning permission was granted under Reg. No. 08/4403 by way of a material contravention for the redevelopment of Ballygiblin Manor (derelict 18thC house and protected structure) and estate into a hotel/leisure and residential development comprising a hotel, a golf course, 16 holiday homes and 93 residential units. Submission states that linkages with Cecilstown were seen as an important facet of the residential development which would retain the historic character of the estate and compliment the role of the village. A comprehensive history of Ballygiblin Manor and Demesne has been outlined along with</p>	As no development has yet commenced on this proposal it would be premature to consider the site for inclusion within the settlement hierarchy.

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Doneraile	5,143	Mallow Road Residents Association (Doneraile)	<p>photographs and maps. The submission request a flexible approach to development of Ballygiblin Manor in the future given prevailing economic and market conditions. The submission concludes by acknowledging that it may not be reasonable to include Ballygiblin into the settlement boundary of the Cecilstown village. However, a request is made to designate Ballygiblin estate as an 'Other Location'. It is suggested that consideration should also be given to including Ballyhass Lakes, which provide angling and water based activities, within the "Other Location" designation along with specific zoning objectives highlighting its primary function as an integrated tourism centre and its secondary function of residential development.</p> <p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the amenities and heritage of Doneraile, namely Rockforest / Rock Wood. The submission indicates that Rock Wood, and its attendant demesne walls and features form a prominent and iconic entrance statement to Doneraile. Rock Wood and its walls are demesne features linked to Doneraile Court and its early planned estate town (no map has been submitted to indicate the extent of the area being referred to). The submission refers to a "proposed development" and makes reference to the site of a planning application submitted within the area zoned O-05 for Open Space, Sports, Recreation and Amenity. (Lands to remain predominantly open and rural in character. Limited potential for individual dwellings, at very low density etc. etc.). The submission also refers to previous refusals of planning permission in the area by an Bord Pleanala, Heritage Protection Guidelines, Development Plan Policies and the Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas. The submission also quotes the zoning objective on O-02 Passive Open Space and implies that it relates to Rock Wood. The submission continues to document a number of recent planning applications for residential development in Doneraile totalling 235 houses (PI 06/11696, 07/4479, 08/6333). The submission indicates that this scale of development exceeds by a factor of ten, that allowed for in a village the size of Doneraile under the government guidelines referenced above; all the units were permitted in the early part of the life of the LAP; there has been no take up of these units - there is no housing market in Doneraile. The submission also states that the population of the village has fallen by 17.5% in the past 25 years. The submission continues to reference a proposal to develop a further 14 houses (on the lands zoned O-05) and includes extracts from an ABP decision on planning application number 08/8025 which relates to an application for 24 houses on the said lands where permission was refused on appeal. Submission concludes that any development proposal which would interfere with the heritage and demesne features of Rock Wood and its scenic setting should not be presented to the local authority for permission. The entire area is within Doneraile Court Demesne and any form of destruction would depreciate the overall view of Doneraile as a unique architectural area, not just within Cork, but nationally.</p>	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the amenities and heritage of Doneraile and these issues will be considered in detail as part of the review of the LAP.</p>
Doneraile	5,184	Walsh, David & Eileen	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of an amenity area in Doneraile referred to by the submitters as the original Deerpark on Doneraile Court Demesne and also as Rockforest. It is suggested that the Deerpark has changed location twice and is now located in the North Park area of Doneraile Court. A map submitted with the submission is stated as being a First Edition OS map and an area identified as Doneraile Demesne is outlined in red on the map. The submitters have lived in this area for more than 30 years and are concerned about the loss of this amenity area to development. Reference is made in the submission to a proposed development and a developer but</p>	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the amenities and heritage of Doneraile and these issues will be considered in detail as part of the review of the LAP.</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Doneraile	5,186	Walsh, Patrick & Michelle	<p>no specific details are given. The submission outlines concerns that any development on these lands would have a negative impact on their adjoining property located inside the 18th Century wall and any proposal may also put their Health and Safety at risk and damage the wall. Submission states that there are numerous 'cut stone artefacts' which lie along the walls perimeter that are currently being reviewed and which will hopefully become protected in due course. Submission makes reference to two previous applications for planning permission, granted by Cork County Council and refused by An Board Pleanala. It is stated that the site in question is designated area of conservation and highly regarded for its scenic value. The submission states that large scale developments have been permitted in the village and a large amount of housing is now available. The submission also points out that the population of the village has fallen by 17% in the past and is showing no signs of recovery. A factor may be the lack of employment in the area. Submission concludes by requesting the area be dezoned with immediate effect and retained as an amenity for future generations to enjoy. (It would appear the land being referred to is the O-OS site, zoned open space/ sports/ recreation / amenity with limited potential for individual dwellings which has been the subject of a number of housing applications.)</p> <p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the local amenities of Doneraile, namely Rockforest and the townlands of Knockacur and Lisnagrough. The submission indicates that these areas remain in their majestic state since designed for Doneraile Court Demesne by the renowned 'Lancelot Capability Brown'. The submitters and their family have become accustomed to visiting this local amenity with its abundance of scenery and wildlife. An effort to prevent this needless destruction is required by the local authority along with a national debate given that limited areas of such prestige have been designed by Capability Brown. The submission then refers to previous planning applications in this area permitted by the local authority notwithstanding efforts made by the submitters for the past 7 years urging the Council to recommend refusal in the interest of protecting local amenities. These development proposals incorporated the removal of the 18th Century wall and the piers at the entrance to Rockforest along with the removal of earth from the hill known locally as the original 18th Century Deerpark. These development proposals were subsequently refusal planning permission by ABP based on the preservation of the scenic amenities. The submitters conclude by urging the County Council to acknowledge the amenities of this area and to prevent any further form of destruction in this area.</p>	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the amenities and heritage of Doneraile and these issues will be considered in detail as part of the review of the LAP.</p>
Doneraile	5,264	Walsh, David Jnr	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to a perceived error in the zoning of a site in Doneraile (O-02 site, passive open space). The site is located at Knocknacur and has been the subject of two previous planning applications, granted by the Council and refused on appeal (08/8025). The area is referred to as Rockforest by the submitter. Development would result in the destruction of the area - 18thC wall and piers, trees within Rockforest and a "scenic slope". Submission indicates that Rockforest is an essential original part of the overall setting and views of Doneraile Court / Park and part of its landscape and setting and part of the original Deerpark of the Demesne. Submission indicates that the area is unsuitable for development and has no suitable means of access as highlighted by the decisions of An Bord Pleanala. Access can only be achieved with disastrous results on the features and amenity of the area. Any development on these lands would have a negative impact on adjoining properties and put the health and safety of residents at risk. Development would also result in the loss</p>	<p>This submission raises issues in relation to the protection of the amenities and heritage of Doneraile and these issues will be considered in detail as part of the review of the LAP.</p>



Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Doneraile	5,303	Dwane, Marguerite	<p>trees which are subject to a preservation order. The submission states that a significant amount of housing has already been permitted in Doneraile and there is a large supply of housing already. The submission urges the Council to reconsider the existing zoning of the Townland of Knockacur (incorporates 0-02 and 0-05 sites).</p> <p>This submission claims that the lands (3.3ha) referred to are zoned for housing and seeks the retention of the zoning status of the land, i.e. requests that the lands would not be dezoned.</p> <p>(Lands are in fact zoned as open space/ sports/ recreation and amenity (0-04) - lands to remain predominantly open and rural in character; limited potential for individual dwellings etc subject to certain circumstances.)</p>	<p>The issues raised will be considered in preparing the Draft Plan. There is ample land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential development.</p>
Doneraile	5,537	Knockacur Developments & Sean & Margaret O'Keeffe	<p>This submission relates to a parcel of land in Doneraile village with a specific zoning objective 0-05 (Open Space, Sports, Recreation and Amenity) and an area stated as 6.3ha in the LAP 2005 - see attached map. The submission requests that this area of land be zoned for nursing home/residential use in the proposed LAP. The submission states that these lands are currently zoned in the 2005 LAP and highlights the specific zoning objective. It continues by referring to the 2008 planning application on part of this site and permitted by CCC under Reg.08/8025. This decision was subsequently refused planning by ABP. The submission states that the landowner has made a significant investment in the purchase of this property and that a new planning application has been lodged on this site for 14 dwellings. The landowner has outlined his concerns regarding the current housing market and the demand for houses in the near future. It is suggested that a development proposal including a nursing home and a number of medium to high density single storey dwellings ancillary to the nursing home would be a viable option. It is claimed that any proposal would include a retirement village type development based around the nursing home and ancillary buildings. The submission concludes by claiming that Doneraile village is the ideal location for a retirement village type development given the availability of local services and amenities.</p>	<p>The open space zoning objective on this site will be reviewed when preparing the new draft plan but the open space nature of the objective is unlikely to change. There is ample development land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential / nursing home type developments.</p>
Doneraile	5,669	O'Keeffe, Sean & Margaret	<p>This submission relates to lands in the townland of Knockacur Doneraile as outlined in another submission by the submitters - see No. 5537 and reiterates similar development proposals.</p> <p>This submission claims that after considerable research by the agents and having regard to the qualities of the site that this estate village of Doneraile would support a quality Nursing Home with associated Residential Retirement Properties and that this would be an optimum use for the site.</p>	<p>The open space zoning objective on this site will be reviewed when preparing the new draft plan but the open space nature of the objective is unlikely to change. There is ample other development land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential / nursing home type developments.</p>
Killavullen	5,326	Killavullen Community Council	<p>This submission on behalf of Killavullen Community Council outlines their aims and hopes for the village over the next few years which can be summarized as follows; alleviate flooding; make provision for traffic calming measures and a pedestrian crossing at Killavullen N.5; make provision for a playground; development of the community centre so as to provide more services for both young and old; make provision for Sheltered Housing; improve the existing derelict sites on the northern approach road to the village; a bridge is required to complete the River/Railway amenity walk at The Carleton Bridge end along with an information board; designate the main entrance and gates to the Ballymacmoy House as a heritage site and finally that the Planning Authority put pressure on the developer to</p>	<p>This submission has made a number of suggestions for improving the village which will be considered in detail in preparing the Draft Plan. No map has been submitted to identify the open space lands referred to.</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Doneraile	5,303	Dwane, Marguerite	<p>trees which are subject to a preservation order. The submission states that a significant amount of housing has already been permitted in Doneraile and there is a large supply of housing already. The submission urges the Council to reconsider the existing zoning of the Townland of Knockacur (incorporates O-02 and O-05 sites).</p> <p>This submission claims that the lands (3.3ha) referred to are zoned for housing and seeks the retention of the zoning status of the land, i.e. requests that the lands would not be dezoned.</p> <p>(Lands are in fact zoned as open space/ sports/ recreation and amenity (O-04) - lands to remain predominantly open and rural in character; limited potential for individual dwellings etc subject to certain circumstances.)</p>	<p>The issues raised will be considered in preparing the Draft Plan. There is ample land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential development.</p>
Doneraile	5,537	Knockacur Developments & Sean & Margaret O'Keefe	<p>This submission relates to a parcel of land in Doneraile village with a specific zoning objective O-05 (Open Space, Sports, Recreation and Amenity) and an area stated as 6.3ha in the LAP 2005 - see attached map. The submission requests that this area of land be zoned for nursing home/residential use in the proposed LAP. The submission states that these lands are currently zoned in the 2005 LAP and highlights the specific zoning objective. It continues by referring to the 2008 planning application on part of this site and permitted by CCC under Reg.08/8025. This decision was subsequently refused planning by ABP. The submission states that the landowner has made a significant investment in the purchase of this property and that a new planning application has been lodged on this site for 14 dwellings. The landowner has outlined his concerns regarding the current housing market and the demand for houses in the near future. It is suggested that a development proposal including a nursing home and a number of medium to high density single storey dwellings ancillary to the nursing home would be a viable option. It is claimed that any proposal would include a retirement village type development based around the nursing home and ancillary buildings. The submission concludes by claiming that Doneraile village is the ideal location for a retirement village type development given the availability of local services and amenities.</p>	<p>The open space zoning objective on this site will be reviewed when preparing the new draft plan but the open space nature of the objective is unlikely to change. There is ample development land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential / nursing home type developments.</p>
Doneraile	5,669	O'Keefe, Sean & Margaret	<p>This submission relates to lands in the townland of Knockacur Doneraile as outlined in another submission by the submitters -see No. 5537 and reiterates similar development proposals.</p> <p>This submission claims that after considerable research by the agents and having regard to the qualities of the site that this estate village of Doneraile would support a quality Nursing Home with associated Residential Retirement Properties and that this would be an optimum use for the site.</p>	<p>The open space zoning objective on this site will be reviewed when preparing the new draft plan but the open space nature of the objective is unlikely to change. There is ample other development land available within Doneraile to accommodate residential / nursing home type developments.</p>
Killavullen	5,326	Killavullen Community Council	<p>This submission on behalf of Killavullen Community Council outlines their aims and hopes for the village over the next few years which can be summarized as follows; alleviate flooding; make provision for traffic calming measures and a pedestrian crossing at Killavullen N.S; make provision for a playground; development of the community centre so as to provide more services for both young and old; make provision for Sheltered Housing; improve the existing derelict sites on the northern approach road to the village; a bridge is required to complete the River/Railway amenity walk at The Carleton Bridge end along with an information board; designate the main entrance and gates to the Ballymacmoy House as a heritage site and finally that the Planning Authority put pressure on the developer to</p>	<p>This submission has made a number of suggestions for improving the village which will be considered in detail in preparing the Draft Plan. No map has been submitted to identify the open space lands referred to.</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
Lombardstown	6,047	Dairygold Co-Operative Society Ltd.	<p>existing enterprise within an existing employment area in accordance with LAP/CDP objectives. Lombardstown Mill; Lombardstown is approx. 8km west of Mallow and is close to the Mallow - Killarney N72 national secondary route. The Mill site comprises 22 acres and is home to the Dairygold Feed Laboratory. The majority of the site is outside the development boundary. Submission seeks inclusion of the full site within the development boundary and recognition of its existing industry/enterprise/employment status within the village. This file is linked to 5,650, 6,045, 6,046 &amp; 6,047. Dairygold seek a flexible approach to the uses that can be carried out on sites under its control with regard to the development and changing needs of the agricultural sector and the need for adaptability. It is suggested that such an approach might allow for the future development of sustainable enterprise centres, where several businesses are located in a rural location, while having ancillary uses and shared services. It is suggested that the Dairygold properties by virtue of their unique site and locational characteristics can assist in achieving strategic economic development objectives and economic diversification in rural areas and therefore merit the inclusion of specific development objectives in the LAP. It is suggested that development on these sites offers the opportunity to maintain and secure long-term economic development and diversification of existing enterprise within an existing employment area in accordance with LAP/CDP objectives. Lombardstown; The Dairygold Co-Op site is located centrally within the village and on the western side of the main road and is home to a Superstore, open yard and storage areas. The site is divided in two by a watercourse. The development boundary follows the watercourse so only the eastern part of the site is within the development boundary. Submission seeks to retain the existing uses within the development boundary and expansion of the boundary to include the yard and the remaining lands to allow for expansion of the site in the future. This file is linked to 5,650, 6,044, 6,045, 6,046, 6,048.</p>	<p>The necessity of amending the boundary to reflect current uses on this site will be examined in detail when preparing the draft plan.</p>
Mallow	5,191	Coleman Brothers Developments	<p>This submission from Coleman Brothers relates to a 13.5 hectare parcel of land at Ballyellis, Mallow which is within the GB 1-2 greenbelt area, and requests the lands, which comprise the majority of the area zoned GB-12, be rezoned for low-medium density residential development. In support of this request the submission indicates that Mallow suffers an imbalance in the type of new homes available on the market with a shortage of properties over 200sqm to facilitate those with larger families and those who wish to build their own house. The submission includes extracts from the Local Area Plan which refer to the purpose and rationale for the GB1-2 Areas and outlines how a development proposal from Coleman Brothers, involving up to 6 clusters of houses / serviced sites might satisfy the GB1-2 criteria. A layout has been submitted which indicates a development of 60 houses arranged in 10 short cul de sacs. Submission indicates that a minimum number of houses need to be provided to make the scheme viable and to allow proper infrastructure and services to be provided. The submission continues to document a recent planning application for 17 dwellings and associated infrastructure on the site area of 13.65ha which was refused for issues which the developers considers to be "engineering issues" which can be addressed and overcome. Submission concludes with the point that if main services are to be provided then a certain minimum amount of development must be allowed to offset the cost of the infrastructure.</p>	<p>There is likely to be adequate land to accommodate multiple house developments within the development boundary and proposal would be contrary to the principles of the greenbelt.</p>
Mallow	5,381	Fleming Construction	<p>This submission basically requests that (a) the Mallow SLAP is reviewed as part of the current LAP review process, (b) that the Council ensure that policies are put in place in the Mallow SLAP to ensure the timely delivery of necessary</p>	<p>Noted. The Mallow SLAP is not being reviewed at this time. The Draft LAPs will identify what</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>infrastructure to facilitate the development of the existing undeveloped, as well as future zoned, lands in this LAP and (c) that the status of the Northern Relief Road is resolved in the context of the its lessening relevance given the new M20 proposals. Specific reference is made in the submission to a 6.6ha site which forms the southern part of the R-02 zoned site as outlined in the 2007 Mallow SLAP on which planning permission for a residential development was refused under Reg.No.06/4529 due to inadequate roads and water services infrastructure and the lengthy time frame for the delivery of the necessary public infrastructure. The submission continues with reference to the emphasis placed by CASP and CDP 2009 on infrastructure led development and the need to secure the timely provision of appropriate infrastructure to facilitate development. However it is stated that responsibility for this was then passed to the review of the LAPs, to be informed by the Integrated Transportation Plans and in this context it is vital that the Mallow SLAP is included in the review process so infrastructural issues can be resolved and sustainable development proceed. It is further stated that the LAPs need to commit to a comprehensive and effective programme of providing major infrastructure, to commence as soon as the LAPs are adopted. This should prioritise lands which are already zoned and are being sterilised by the lack of infrastructure to serve them. Further suggestions of measures to compress the time period for the planning and delivery of infrastructure are included in the submission. The submission continues to suggest that where significant infrastructure needs to be delivered before zoned land can be developed, this needs to be explicit in the wording of the zoning objective.</p>	<p>infrastructure is required to facilitate proposed development.</p>
Mallow	5,491	O'Flynn John	<p>This submission relates to lands at Oliver's Cross, north east of Mallow town, which partially overlap with the X-03 site as designated in the Mallow SLAP, and seeks the zoning of a large tract of land as an extension of the X-03 site. In support of this request it is claimed that the subject lands are strategically located in the context of the proposed motorway and the proposed northern relief road and additional zoning is required as there is insufficient 'headroom' to ensure that the revised population targets for 2020 can be accommodated on lands currently zoned within the Mallow SLAP. It is also suggested that various constraints will restrict development on the current X-03 site (poor accessibility, topographical and infrastructural constraints, scenic landscape and flooding issues etc.). It is further claimed that the inclusion of these lands would enhance the overall connectivity of the GAA facilities developed on adjoining lands, provide more co-location opportunities for community facilities, provide better opportunities for the location of employment uses in the area and offer improved access and linkages to the road network.</p>	<p>Noted. This submission raises issues concerning the Mallow SLAP which is not being reviewed at this time.</p>
Mallow	5,540	Longueville House & Estate	<p>This submission outlines that it is the aim of the owners of Longueville House to return it to its original function as an equestrian, game and fishing estate, conducive to developing rural pursuits and products in conjunction with its role as an historic house and estate. Achieving this requires a diversification of estate management. The submission continues to outline that the following developments would be specifically required in this regard : a) Residential development in the form of individual residential sites to be permitted within the environs of the estate on an incremental basis; b) corporate or research type development of national or international significance and /or with rural benefits to agribusiness; c) car parking; d) non permanent structures for short / medium term events; e) internal roads for management of the estate; f) development and extension of water courses; g) re use of</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
New Twopothouse	5,372	O'Regan Michael	<p>existing buildings for alternative uses such as residential, catering, equestrian, tourist, agri business; h) tourist / field sport related development.</p> <p>The submission requests that the lands, located within the current development boundary for Newtwopothouse, be specifically zoned for employment use to provide for employment/light industry/warehouse development. It continues to request an alternative option if formal zoning is not progressed that the local area plan clarifies within its text that the lands west of the N20 are considered suitable for employment/light industrial type uses and that these uses comply with the objectives outlined in both the LAP and the Development Plan 2009. The submission references planning application ref no. 09/ 7307 (for the construction of 6 light industrial/warehouse units, and associated site development works) which occupies a large portion of the area on which zoning is requested and indicates that the formal zoning of the land would facilitate the development of identified business uses, bring employment and economic growth to Newtwopothouse and the surrounding area. It is claimed that the zoning of these lands for employment uses would not impact negatively on the strategic employment role of Mallow, would be consistent with the County Development Plan policy of promoting villages as local employment centres and with the policies of the 2005 Local Area Plan.</p>	Noted. Issues raised will be considered when preparing the Draft Plan.
Watergrasshill	5,037	Cork Diocesan Trustees	<p>Submission raises issues in relation to lands owned by the Cork Diocese in Watergrasshill. While there appears to be some confusion, within the submission, as to what the lands are currently zoned for, and reference is made to a low density housing zoning in the Draft Local Area Plan, the lands are in fact are included within the area currently zoned as X-02 in the centre of Watergrasshill. The zoning makes provision for medium density residential uses, community facilities and a public car park. A map of the church lands is included within the submission together with an account of lands sales to the school and an adjacent land owner. It is claimed that the lands remaining in the ownership of the Diocese of Cork and Ross are very irregular in shape with limited access and are deemed unsuitable for community facilities and/or a recreational park in accordance with the current zoning. It is also claimed that the site is remote from the main residential area of the village and its development as a recreational park or community facility would result in anti-social behaviour as the site would not be properly integrated with the village. It is therefore suggested that the lands (shaded blue) would be more suitable for a residential development and that this would complement other residential uses in the area. However the submission then continues with a request that the zoning on the site not be changed. It would appear that the submission is requesting that the lands in the ownership of the church be rezoned for residential use only and that reference to community facilities/ parks and car parks be omitted.</p>	Noted. There is a deficit of community facilities in the village. Draft Plan needs to give detailed consideration as to how to remedy this issue.
Watergrasshill	5,152	Barber, Properties	<p>This submission requests that lands in the ownership of Barber Properties and located in the townland of Meenane Watergrasshill be zoned for Industrial/Commercial Uses.</p> <p>The submission states that these lands were formally used as a gravel pit that is now below the surface level of the public road. It is claimed that these lands are suited to the proposed commercial/industrial zoning given that the lands are located directly across the road from an existing light industrial/commercial development, are serviceable, have good road access, are not suited to farming and are easily screened.</p> <p>The submission concludes by stating that the Watergrasshill</p>	This site is on the opposite side of the motorway from the village. Significant lands remain available within the adjacent X-01 site in Watergrasshill for industrial / employment uses. The need for additional land will need to be carefully considered in preparing the draft plan.

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			Business Park is being rapidly developed, the additional lands zoned in the 2005 Plan already have planning permission and will be developed in the short term, and there is therefore a need to identify additional employment lands in this area.	
Watergrasshill	5,194	Flaherty, John	This submission relates to a parcel of land located outside and directly adjacent to the existing development boundary of Watergrasshill as outlined in red in the attached map. No details of the landowner have been included and the submission has been made by an auctioneer on behalf of the unnamed landowner. The submission states that the outline strategy for the Blarney Electoral Area (incorrectly referenced as this settlement forms part of the Mallow Electoral Area) identifies a shortfall of residential development lands in Watergrasshill in the period 2010 - 2020 based on the anticipated growth in population. The submission claims that this parcel of land is suitable for development given its location on the northern side of the village adjacent to the Rathcormack Road, within the 50km speed zone with frontage also available on to the slip road leading to the access roads onto the N8. The submission also claims that the site is situated opposite a new residential development which is close to completion and the required services are readily available including mains water and the village sewerage system. The submission concludes by proposing that these lands be included in an extended development boundary to the north of the village and zoned medium density residential development so as to provide for a shortfall in dwellings units that may arise in the period 2010-2020.	These lands are outside the development boundary of the village. The need to extend the development boundary will be considered in preparing the draft Plan.
Watergrasshill	5,317	O'Riordan, Padraig	This submission requests that, in terms of developing Watergrasshill as a local employment centre, the X-01 zoned site be extended to 'Regan's Gorse' so that small scale light industrial and distribution services can be developed there.	Significant lands remain available within the X-01 site in Watergrasshill for industrial / employment uses. The need for additional land will need to be carefully considered in preparing the draft plan.
Watergrasshill	5,318	O'Riordan, Noirin	The current X-02 zoning objective in the settlement of Watergrasshill makes provision for residential development (medium density), community facilities to include uses to support residential amenity and associated uses, and provision for a public car park. This submission proposes the amendment of part of the area currently zoned X-02 to include serviced sites, medium density residential development with a mix of house types and housing for the elderly. It would appear that the sketch map submitted refers to the proposed amendment to the X-01 site with the northern section of the plot in the ownership of the submitters as indicated in broken line. The submission details the submitters intention to develop the area currently zoned R-02 in the coming years (zoned for medium density residential development, including individual sites).	The zoning objective on the X-02 site will be reviewed when preparing the Draft plan but it is unlikely that the requirement for community facilities / car park will be omitted in the absence of alternative proposals of comparable quality (location, connectivity with village etc).
Watergrasshill	5,444	Kelleher Richard	This submission relates to a parcel of land to the south west of Watergrasshill village and the area zoned R-04, on the western side of the public road. These lands are located outside the development boundary and although close, are not contiguous to it.  Submission seeks zoning for residential and industrial development.  (Note that submission 5609 proposes to zone lands between this parcel of land and the current development boundary for residential development).	This site is outside the development boundary. There is significant undeveloped zoned residential and employment / industrial land available within the development boundary of this Key Village. The need to extend the development boundary for residential / employment uses will be

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>It is claimed that these lands can be easily serviced independently in terms of waste water treatment and water supply and will not be dependent on public infrastructure which in recent years has inhibited growth within the village.</p> <p>The submission indicates that submissions were previously made to the 2005 Fermoy EA LAP 2005 and to the Augmentation of the Residential Land Supply in Metropolitan Cork. The comprehensive 2005 submission has been attached to this submission.</p>	considered in preparing the draft Plan.
Watergrasshill	5,609	Mc Namara, Joe & Greenband Investments	<p>This submission relates to lands to the south west of the village, adjoining the R-04 zoned lands and located directly outside the development boundary of Watergrasshill, and requests that they be zoned for residential development. The submission includes an assessment of the significant population growth experienced in the village in the past along with details of recent residential developments on zoned land in the village and identifies a need for additional residential lands to be zoned in the new LAP. It also claims that the outlines strategy prepared by CCC did not identify Watergrasshill as a village. It should be noted that the settlement of Watergrasshill is now included in the Mallow EA and has been identified as a key village in this outline strategy document. The submission concludes by states that the extension of the Watergrasshill development boundary to include these lands for residential development to the south of the settlement is the most appropriate site sequentially to accommodate residential development and growth given that the site is accessible by multi-modal transport; it is fully serviced and located proximate to the village centre.</p>	This site is outside the development boundary. There is significant undeveloped zoned residential land available within the development boundary of this Key Village. The need to extend the development boundary will be considered in preparing the draft Plan.
Watergrasshill	5,682	Client	<p>This submission relates to a parcel of land located inside the existing development boundary and to the south-east of Watergrasshill village. The M8 runs along the eastern site boundary and this C-01 site with a specific zoning objective in the 2005 LAP for commercial uses has a stated area of 7.1ha. No details of the landowner have been included and the submission has been made by an auctioneer on behalf of the unnamed landowner. The submission states that the outline strategy identifies a shortfall of residential development lands in Watergrasshill in the period 2010 - 2020 based on the anticipated growth in population. The submission claims that this parcel of land is suitable for development given its location on the southern approach road to the village adjacent to the Glanmire to Watergrasshill Road and within the 50km speed zone. It is also claimed that these lands are situated close to existing residential development and has good access to the N8 Motorway. It continues by stating that the required services are readily available including mains water and the village sewerage system. The submission concludes by proposing that these lands be changed to Low Density Residential development with a special provision to include a community sports/leisure facility such as an All-Weather playing pitch etc so as to provide for a shortfall in dwellings units that may arise in the period 2010-2020.</p>	Noted. The issues raised in this submission will be considered in preparing the draft plan.
Watergrasshill	5,687	Watergrasshill Community Association	<p>This submission raises a number of issues in relation to the future development of the village: Residential development - notes scale of development which has occurred since 2005 and that fact that in excess of 40 housing units remain vacant and for sale in the village. It is estimated that there is land remaining to build a further 430 in the future but in some cases development sites are derelict eyesores ( R-03 and C-02) which detract from the village. All housing has been developed by the private sector - there has been no public sector investment in the village. Submission points to the need to zone land for serviced site type residential development in view of the Rural Housing Control Zone</p>	This submission has made a number of valid points which will be considered in details in preparing the draft Plan.

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>restrictions that apply in the rural hinterland of the village, and suggests existing residential zoned allow for this type of development. . Transport &amp; Infrastructure: Traffic levels through the village are high due to commuting traffic avoiding the tolls on the N8. Parking problems remain an issue and environmental improvements identified in the 2005 plan are still required. The Park and Ride facility referred to in the 2005 plan has not proceeded and no land has been identified or zoned for such a facility. Public transport services need to be improved and concern is expressed about the lack of protection afforded the local aquifer, the closest water supply for the village. Community Facilities: 2005 plan noted that the village was lacking in community facilities - this is still the case but the situation has worsened with the increased population of the village. While areas O-01 and O-02 have been zoned for active and passive open space they are still in private ownership and are likely to remain inaccessible to the community for the foreseeable future. Bogaghard Commons and Pond (area O-01) have been identified as an area of ecological importance and suitable for development as an amenity area with a pedestrian path around the pond. However, no steps have been taken to safeguard the area or to develop it. The Community Association are extremely active in providing community facilities. Community Centre is in constant use work is underway on the restoration and development of the old Church of Ireland to provide a theatrical, exhibition and educational space for the community. It is requested that the objective for the X-02 site be revised to exclude residential use and leaving the site for community type uses only (playing pitches and sports facilities etc). In conclusion the Association indicates that it is opposed to any extension of the development boundary of the village to cater for further housing until the issues referred to above are resolved and facilities within the village catch up with the development that has taken place.</p>	
n/a	5,015	Flavin, Tony	<p>This submission questions why local authorities are outside the control of the county senior planner and despite having development plans and guidelines can do what they like. The submission proposes that local authorities and councillors should have no control whatsoever as they are not qualified and they disregard the decisions of qualified people.</p>	The contents of the submission are noted.
n/a	5,024	County Cork VEC	<p>This submission welcomes the emphasis on the need for educational, sports and recreational facilities and considers the zoning of lands for these purposes as essential. Submission makes reference to the recent success in securing sites for schools where the CCVEC, Cork County Council and the Department of Education &amp; Science collaborated. The submission identifies the need to zone sites for post-primary education in towns and welcomes the opportunity to discuss this further.</p>	The review of the LAP will include consideration of and provision for the educational requirements of each EA.
n/a	5,027	Bus Eireann	<p>This submission highlights the improvements to bus services in the county in recent years and the commitment to continue this with the assistance of the Councils. The submission highlights the need to encourage modal shift in line with Government and local strategies and the key role infrastructure improvements play in achieving a positive whole trip experience.</p> <p>Key elements in ensuring modal shift are listed as follows; competitive and reliable journey times; range and scope of destinations; attractive frequency; and, convenience of use. Adequate bus priority measures and infrastructure (bus stops) as an integral part of the planning process are highlighted as essential.</p> <p>The submission welcomes the referral of planning applications of a certain scale to Bus Eireann for comment</p>	The LAP review will take into consideration bus services availability and how the use of such services can be maximised.



Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
n/a	5,068	Rosdale Enterprises Ltd	<p>and highlights the following infrastructural items as being of importance to public transport provision - accessible, safe, comfortable, well lit, wheelchair accessible bus stops that can be accessed by people with disabilities; bus stops at schools should provide a safe environment to school children; maximise free-flow of traffic by adjusting parking and traffic systems; and consideration to allowing public transport use the hard shoulder when entering and existing towns.</p> <p>The submission also suggests that provision be made for overnight parking of buses for early morning departures in outlying towns. The submission is accompanied by recommended designs from the Quality Bus Network Project Office for Bus Stops suitable for Wheelchair Accessible Coaches.</p> <p>The submitter urges the Council to recognise the need for greater co-operation between the Council and Developing Companies. It acknowledges the clear vision of the strategy for development along the rail line and the investment made by developers to achieve this objective. The availability of infrastructure in some settlements is referenced.</p> <p>The submission requests that the area plans recognise a) the need to maximise the use of land along the rail line and limit development / zoning elsewhere, b) that minor deficiencies in infrastructure are challenges and not obstacles to development or zoning, c) the reduction in cashflow / profit of developers in current market means they will no longer be able to carry cost of addressing these deficiencies and this should be considered when assessing zoning proposals, d) the prioritizing of Developing Areas for investment by the DoEHLG, e) the need for the Council to identify infrastructure deficiencies and seek Departmental funding to address them and , f) the need to concentrate employment and residential development along the railway line in recognition of the investment already made in this area and to ensure optimal return on this investment.</p>	Further consideration will be given to the issues raised in preparing the draft plans.
n/a	5,119	National Roads Authority	<p>Submission states that primary function of NRA is to secure the provision of a safe and efficient network of national roads. National roads account for 6% of road network but carry 40% of traffic and 98% of freight traffic.</p> <p>The submission welcomes consultation on the ten Outline Strategy papers and requests that the following be considered:</p> <p><b>1. Protection of Existing National Routes:</b> The submission advises that local area policies should be adopted so as to avoid the undermining of the strategic transport function of existing national roads, by proposing measures intended to cater for the needs of local traffic which should more appropriately be addressed within the framework of providing an adequate local road infrastructure as advocated in the current Cork County Council Development Plan. The traffic generated from planned development should be quantified and addressed in the preparation of the local area plans, with appropriate planning strategies identified as to how such traffic/trip demand is to be catered for. All options in catering for trip demand should be addressed, including the appropriateness of enhancements to the local road network, walking, cycling and public transport modes, promoting good planning strategies and avoiding inappropriate development that negatively impacts on national roads. Council consider the impact (cumulative) that the development of the settlement plans could potentially have on the national road network. Strategic transport assessments are undertaken to inform land use and access proposals in preparing the plans and</p>	The contents of the NRA submission will be taken into consideration when reviewing each of the Local Area Plans

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>identifying development lands. The LAP should reflect and safeguard the strategic role of national roads and associated interchanges/junctions in catering for the safe and efficient movement of major inter urban and inter regional traffic. When zoning land regard should be had to the preferences outlined in the Retail Planning Guidelines. The policies outlined in the Sustainable Rural Housing Guidelines should also be taken account of.</p> <p>2. National Road Projects. A list of such projects is provided in a table with the Electoral Areas which each scheme affects also indicated. Objectives, policies or rezonings should not compromise the road planning and route selection, alter the function of these roads or increase the cost of land.</p> <p>3. Metropolitan Cork Area. The NRA recognises the strategic national importance of the development of the MCA as a gateway and supports priorities identified in the NDP and NSS. LAP needs to protect the carrying capacity of the existing and proposed national roads network. Submissions states that a number of LAP's fail to meet CDP objectives INF 3-1, 3-3 and 3-6. Local traffic should be catered for on local roads.</p> <p>4. Park and Ride/Green Bus Routes. Supports the provision of public transport but notes that funding of public transport infrastructure such as park and ride and bus priority lanes are outside their normal remit and costs of such should be borne by local authority.</p> <p>5. Development Contribution Schemes. If road improvements required as part of a development then such costs of road upgrades should be borne by the developer.</p> <p>6. Noise. Planning applications should identify and implement noise mitigation measures where additional traffic generated breaches noise design goals on national routes.</p> <p>The submissions addresses issues within each of the 10 EA as follows;</p> <p>a) Blarney EA LAP. Need to ensure that policy objectives and planned development of the Atlantic Road Corridor are not undermined by inappropriate or premature development. Need to ensure that the planned upgrade of the Dunkettle Interchange is supported in the plan. Current Council proposals for accessibility mitigation measures do not constitute an adequate response to what is required to ensure the intended function of the interchange and more work is required. Also the councils current proposals to upgrade the interchange are incompatible the NRA's objectives for the junction. It will not be appropriate to have development junctions along the N22/N20/N8 Cork Northern Ring road as it is not intended as a distributor road. Does not support the inclusion of a junction to serve the proposed Monard development.</p> <p>b) Midleton EA LAP. Supports the preparation of the Midleton and Carrigtwohill Traffic and Transportation Study. Does not support the proposed location of retail development in Carrigtwohill. Should reconsider objectives I-06 and I-07 in Carrigtwohill and Knockgriffin/Water Rock and Baneshane in Midleton, such employee intensive uses should be located close to the railway station. A single access should be provided to I-01 and I-D2 in Killeagh and I-01 in Castlematyr. The N25 Carrigtwohill-Midleton scheme is at preliminary design stage and the N25 midleton-Youghal is at constraints stage.</p>	

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>c) Carrigaline EA LAP. Appropriate local transportation infrastructure to service generated trip demands should be put in place for Ringaskiddy Port, Airport, Carrigaline, Cork City-South Environs and Curraheen. A fully integrated LUTS should be carried out for the Ringaskiddy port and industrial areas and the proposed Cork Science Park. It is noted that the Science Park has excellent potential accessibility to public transport/sustainable transport. The CIT link road to the national road network would only be considered if provided as a busway scheme. The proposed Cork Southern Ring Road interchange upgrades are dependent on the availability of funding. Consultants were appointed in 2006 to advance preparation of the N28.</p> <p>d) Macroom EA LAP. The N22 road improvement scheme which includes the Macroom Bypass has been published and submitted to an Bord Pleanala.</p> <p>e) Mallow EA LAP. The N20 Mallow/Charleville/Croom road scheme, the N20 Blamey to Mallow is been progressed as a single scheme. Re-examine land use objective CO-1 and statement included 10.4.8 with respect to the Section 6.3 of the CDP, 2009.</p> <p>f) Fermoy EA LAP. Notes para. 3.1.13 and 3.1.43 of the Outline Strategy and request consultation with respect to any proposal in proximity to national roads and refers to section 6.3 of the CDP. Supports reference to NRA-online service area under section 4.1.9.</p> <p>g) Kanturk EA LAP. The N20 Mallow/Charleville/Croom road scheme, the N20 Blamey to Mallow is been progressed as a single scheme.</p> <p>h) Bantry, Skibbereen and Bandon EA LAP. The Draft Clonakilty Transportation Plan should address the protection of capacity of the N71. Should re-examine land use objective I-01 with respect to Section 6.3 of CDP. In Skibbereen land use objectives I-02, I-03 and R-03 should be re-examined with respect to Section 6.3 of CDP. In Bandon any additional employment and retail development lands referred to under sections 3.1.5 and 3.1.8 in the Outline strategy are tested stringently with respect to Section 6.3 of CDP.</p> <p>The protection of the safety, carrying capacity and efficiency of the existing and future national roads network is maintained and an integrated approach to land use and transportation solutions should be undertaken, such that local traffic generated by developments is catered for primarily within the framework of the local roads i.e non national. Would welcome an opportunity to further discuss the issues raised in the submission.</p>	
n/a	5,129	Irish Farmers Association (Cork)	<p>The submission is made by Cork IFA and highlights the important role that agriculture and food production plays in Co Cork. The submission states that planning policy at national and local levels should support and reflect this important role. More specifically the submission states that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Agriculture and food production is more progressive and efficient here in Cork than other counties, leading to job creation in food production and associated services, which is a major benefit to local people and the local economy.</li> <li>2. The industry should be supported in every way by the authorities, particularly in terms of encouraging people into food production and agriculture generally.</li> <li>3. REPs and other schemes have been beneficial for the community and economy.</li> <li>4. Planning policy should support farm enterprise and the provision of the necessary farming facilities.</li> <li>5. The</li> </ol>	The LAP review will continue to support the key role of the agricultural industry in rural Ireland and make provision where possible for its continued development.

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>provision of infrastructure and the maintenance of the network of rural roads will pay dividends to the rural economy</p> <p>Need to emphasise the importance of agriculture in the commercial and social life of County Cork. Need to maintain all existing services in rural areas such as post offices etc. Access and the transport of goods a key issue. Need to provide overtaking bays along main road where opportunities for overtaking are limited. Notes that last CDP dealt comprehensively with rural areas but this review should not ignore such areas.</p>	
n/a	5,277	Keane, Margaret C	<p>This submission raises a number of issues relating to the County as a whole 1) the rivers should be dredged yearly to help reduce the risk of flooding 2) All housing in rural areas should be low density 3) The sewerage and waste from key villages should be directed to the nearest town and have one treatment plant for each area. 4) Waste should not be allowed to enter rivers 5) In order to finance the preceding points water rates and rates should be charged for five years. 6) Finally, where possible there should be a white or yellow line along the kerb side of all secondary roads.</p>	The flooding and infrastructure issues raised will be dealt with further in the draft LAP's.
n/a	5,281	Construction Industry Federation (Cork Branch)	<p>(1) This submission stresses the increased imperative, in the current economic climate, of the Council working in partnership within the CIF in preparing the LAPs and for all parties to strive to promptly realise the plans once adopted. If the right plans, and all necessary infrastructure are put in place then a development upturn can be facilitated, assisting local and national economic growth. The LAP review process provides the Council with the opportunity to: a) demonstrate leadership, innovation and a sense of urgency in resolving current challenges, b) commit to a programme of focussed and prioritised infrastructural investment and the equitably embrace solutions which can be delivered by, or in partnership with, the construction industry; and c) embrace measures which simplify the increased quantum of plans/ masterplans, regulations and assessments required. (2) Submission continues to state that Council should provide clear zoning objectives and pursue alternative approaches to securing objectives, such as working closely with the construction sector, to provide infrastructure. The LAPs should be reviewed after 2 years to ensure they reflect demand and market conditions. (3) CIF continues to have concerns about the spatial distribution of zoned development land while it is accepted that overall, there is enough land to meet a 6 year LAP timeframe. Clarity needs to be brought to the issue of the time span of these LAP – 6 or 10 years as this has significant implications for the land supply required. (4) A number of specific measures have been identified would could help hasten more favourable development conditions:</p> <p>CASP &amp; CASP UPDATE: The CIF is of the view that the dwelling output targets set for Cork City for the 2006-2020 period are unachievable and will constrain development within Metropolitan Cork. In addition the Departments Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas will serve to limit development in the smaller towns and villages thus limiting their ability to absorb additional development in the future. The County Metropolitan Area will need to accommodate additional growth and the County Development Plan should be amended to enable the LAPs to make adequate allowance for this. The disparity between CASP targets and estimated growth patterns are indicative of a number of issues which should be addressed by the LAPs: - a) the lack of zoned lands in preferred market locations, b) the lack of adequate road and service infrastructure, c) lack of emphasis on Market needs, d) lack of front loading of infrastructure provision and strong</p>	Noted. The issues raised will be given further consideration during the preparation of the draft LAP's

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
----------	---------	------------------	-----------------------	----------

marketing campaigns for key target growth areas, and e) lack of effective implementation structure to drive forward the needs of the key target growth areas. CASP Update should be reviewed when 2011 census figures are available so that appropriate strategy adjustments can be pursued.

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** CIF supports the concept of targeted infrastructure investment, especially in areas where development of zoned land has been constrained for some time by lack of infrastructure e.g Mallow which has significant water supply constraints. Council should adopt a more aggressive approach in seeking necessary funds, tax designations etc. to progress the CASP strategy. Approach to infrastructure delivery needs to be overhauled and time frame for delivery shortened and a number of suggestions are given in this regard. Opportunities for PPPs to fund infrastructure need to be identified. Unrealistic for the Council to require future infrastructure provision to be the primary responsibility of developers – there needs to be an equitable balance between public and private sector funding.

**PLANNING GAIN:** two major planning gain policies have been introduced in the last 10 years - Part V requirements in relation to the provision of social and affordable housing and Councils Recreation and Amenity Policy requiring the provision of facilities within developments / payment of a contribution in lieu of provision. In addition development contributions have increased dramatically. The Planning Bill proposes further planning gain requirements in the form of schools, flood relief schemes and broadband provision. An 80% windfall tax is also proposed. Cumulative impact of all these measures is to stifle the recovery of the residential development market. Council should call for a national review of planning gain and undertake its own review and address issues within the LAPS where possible. Specifically the LAP's should acknowledge that many areas have limited or no demand for affordable housing and a reduced Part V obligation should apply in these areas – varying percentages to apply as appropriate to each area.

In relation to the Council's Recreation & Amenity Policy it is suggested that where there is a specific objective on a site for the provision of a specific amenity such as a playing pitch or a walk, this should be capable of being off set against the facilities required under the Recreation and Amenity Policy. At present no allowance is made for such objectives in calculating requirements under the Policy. Furthermore, the CIF is concerned that the Council is progressing this policy in advance of its own ability to effectively manage the additional estate management burden. The Council's insistence on the provision of facilities with easy maintenance, tarmac surfaces surrounded by fencing and limited or no play equipment can lower the residential amenity of many developments and is a retrograde step. LAP's should establish clear objectives to ensure that residential amenity is enhanced by the provisions of the Recreation and Amenity Policy even if this means a greater reliance on monetary contributions for the provision of off site facilities or more emphasis on 'casual play spaces' in line with Departmental Guidelines on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas.

**CRÈCHES:** While acknowledging national guidelines on crèche provision it is felt that these result in an over provision of facilities and the standards of the Barcelona Agreement are more appropriate. Local Area Plans should reinforce the flexibility offered by the County Development Plan policy on crèche provision (.. normally be provided ) by noting that if it is clearly established that there is no

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>demand for an existing crèche, favourable consideration will be given to changing its use.</p> <p><b>MARKET CONSIDERATIONS :</b> While development needs to be plan led, it is important that the planning process takes account of what the market requires and in many areas, for example, very high density developments will not be accepted by the market and density provisions need to be more flexible. In the majority of locations the market will not accept density greater than 10-12 units per acre and favours detached, semi detached and terraced housing. Apartment schemes have been of limited success in the city and have little prospect of being successful in the Satellite / Ring Towns or smaller outline towns and villages. Higher densities only work in urban locations or on special sites with a combination of beneficial factors such as good public and private transport access and views of water. Development of units for which there is no market demand is not socially or economically sustainable and LAP's need to critically review density requirements for all settlements and consider market needs in each area.</p> <p><b>ZONING / WINDFALL TAX:</b> concerns about lack of information on how windfall tax is to be applied on "any change in zoning" and potential impact of any changes to the current zoning objectives in terms of liability for this tax e,g a change from stand alone industry to general industry. Council needs to have regard to the potential economic impact of amending zoning objectives and give consideration to maintaining the zoning provisions the 2005 LAPs as they are.</p> <p><b>SEA / HIA :</b> concerned at impact of these Directives on the complexity of planning process and the status of long established zonings. Where environmental issues arise which result in the omission, part omission or amendment of existing zoned lands the CIF request that they are consulted in advance of the publication of the relevant draft plans. Clarification on timeframes for these processes is also sought.</p> <p>Document usability – Better linkages should be provided between the County Development Plan mapped objectives for the greenbelt, rural housing control zone and heritage objectives and the LAP documents. It should be evident from the LAP documents where controls / constraints apply without having to revert back to the County Development Plan documents.</p>	
n/a	5,285	Birdwatch Ireland	<p>Submission states that Cork harbour supports wetlands and wild bird species of local, national and international importance. The wetlands and the bird species they support provide significant public benefits including amenity and recreation, flood protection, ecotourism as well as protection for priority wildlife habitats and species.</p> <p>The submission states that any proposal which adversely affects a site covered by the EU Habitats Directive and any decision making process must be subject to Appropriate Assessment. Concerned about impact of increased disturbance, loss of habitat or increased fragmentation of wetlands. Highlights the fact that the even small areas can be vital for the future of a species. Welcomes a strategic approach to all forms of development in coastal areas and the need for an ICZM approach. Need to consider regional and national port requirements. LAP process should be used to enhance and further protect the valuable wildlife assets of the harbour area so that the public benefits provided by this wildlife resource are protected for future generations and a truly sustainable approach to the future</p>	<p>The contents of the submission are noted and consideration will be given to addressing the issues raised as part of the preparation of the draft LAP's</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>of Cork Harbour is adopted.</p> <p>The submission includes a summary of national and international obligations to protect wild bird interests in particular the Habitats and Birds Directives.</p> <p>The submission also includes a copy of "Protecting Irelands wild birds and their habitats- Why Birds Count- Policy and Advocacy Priorities" This document highlights the main areas of concern for wild bird conservation and provides a framework for developing policy relating to a wide range of areas of relevance to protecting wild bird species and their habitats. These include social and economic benefits, halting loss of biodiversity, network of protected areas, management and monitoring, sustainable management of land and sea, safeguarding our seas, protecting our wetlands, farming and wildlife, upgrading of uplands, providing wilder woods, dealing with climate change and delivering bird conservation.</p>	
n/a	5,482	O'Flynn Construction	<p>A list of birds on the "Red" and "Amber" endangered lists is included in a separate document.</p> <p>Permission was granted for a crèche facility as part of a specific development, however the crèche has remained vacant for 4 years despite serious efforts to secure an operator. This submission highlights the difficulties of the blanket application of the "Childcare Facilities Guidelines for Planning Authorities, June 2001". The submission states the LAP guidelines on child care provision are taken as requiring a crèche for every 75 dwellings. The submission states that in fact this should be treated as only a guideline. In some cases there is little demand for such facilities and it therefore does not make sense to provide them.</p> <p>Request that Council adopt a more flexible policy in relation to the provision of childcare, community and commercial facilities so that the relevant facilities can be provided within a particular area.</p>	<p>The contents are noted. The policy issues raised relating to childcare provision are more appropriately dealt with in the County Development Plan. The site specific issues raised are considered a matter best dealt with by Development Management.</p>
n/a	5,605	Crean, John	<p>The submission also includes a detailed proposal for a change of usage for a crèche facility in developments. The proposal makes the case for a combined crèche and primary care centre on the existing vacant crèche site.</p> <p>This submission suggests that the Council prepare a general zoning matrix in all Local Area Plans in order to offer greater clarity to the Development Control function of the Council and An Bord Pleanála. The submission states that at present there are areas in the County where the limited suite of development zonings applied in the Local Area Plans lead to situations where certain small scale developments may be interpreted as non conforming. The submission acknowledges that while there are certain provisions in the County Development Plan that seek to address this issue (Objective LAP 3-1) in some manner this is insufficient and vague. The submission states that the inclusion of a matrix in the Cork LAP's would allow for general and specific local issues to be taken into account and invite the Council to consider preparing a Zoning Matrix for the definition of uses "Permitted in Principle", "Open for Consideration" or "Not Permitted" in various zones.</p>	<p>Noted. The Council intend to review how zoning is applied and zoning definitions as part of the review process and further consideration will be given to the issues raised.</p>
n/a	5,712	Reynolds, Stan	<p>This submission makes suggestions on improving the public utility of Cork Harbour for residents and tourists. In order to avail of the potential recreational opportunities offered by the harbour the public need safe means of access in the form of public slipways, public piers and public pontoonaage. Almost all of the current infrastructure dates from the Victorian era, with the exception of more recent public facilities (pier and pontoon) provided in Crosshaven. Public access to the River Lee within the city is limited to ladders</p>	<p>Noted. Further consideration to the issues raised around Cork harbour will be given during the preparation of the draft LAP's.</p>

Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
n/a	S.718	The Campaign for Sustainable Rural Housing	<p>along the quay walls. It is suggested that Cork harbour be viewed as a public "commons" and that public access be provided. The review of the LAPs should make provision for the provision of publicly owned and operated marinas at a number of strategic locations around the harbour including Cork city centre, Passage West, Cobh, and Aghada etc. Pontoons could also be provided at smaller locations and have advantages from a safety perspective as well as facilitating older / less mobile members of society in physically accessing their boats. Such facilities would open up opportunities for city dwellers to use the river and harbour for recreation. Regulations and charges could be introduced to give preference to smaller boats with no engine /low horse power engines to encourage gentle pottering on the river rather than high powered zooming of power boats and jet skis. It is important that the marina and pontoons are publicly owned to ensure access is available and affordable in the public interest. Facilities for launching trailered boats are also required. Within the City a marina would also facilitate the Fire Brigade to station a small rescue boat on a pontoon with which to rescue people who fall into the river - at present the fire men have enter the river as swimmers to rescue people. The provision of such facilities will improve access to the harbour and contribution to economic growth and job creation.</p> <p>It is further suggested that the number and density of boats moored upstream of Crosshaven could easily be quadrupled by switching to a mooring system based upon rows of timber piles, spaced 60m apart, as seen for example on the Hamble River in Hampshire.</p> <p>Submission continues to express concern that the Council continues to view Ringaskiddy as a suitable location for the relocation of the Port of Cork having regard to the fact that An Bord Pleanala has outlined several reasons why it is logistically and strategically unsuitable for such development. Opportunity should be taken with the LAP to delete references to Ringaskiddy being a suitable location for the relocation of the Port of Cork.</p> <p>Finally submission includes some suggestions for developing tourism in the harbour - all the Napoleonic fortifications around the harbour should be restored and opened up to the public</p> <p>This submission consists of a copy of STRIVE Report Series No.44 "Sustainable Rural Development: Managing Housing in the Countryside" prepared for the EPA.</p> <p>The report addresses the question of housing development in rural areas. Central to this contentious debate is the concept of sustainability and its application to rural areas.</p> <p>Rural housing is a complex and multidimensional faceted public policy issue. A range of novel methodologies and the collection of significant new data in relation to rural change and housing in Ireland underpins the report.</p> <p><b>Key Findings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rural Ireland is not a homogeneous area with a single shared experience. Depending on geographical location and economic circumstances rural areas face contrasting experiences.</li> <li>- The buoyancy of the 1990's helped rural areas to absorb the decline in the primary sectors of agriculture and fisheries.</li> <li>- Case studies found that rural housing and settlement was underpinned by fairly stable community (35.5% lived in their current dwelling for 20 years or more). Over half recent movers had moved from a more urban location. Over half that group were from a rural background.</li> <li>- Reasons for moving to rural area included social and</li> </ul>	



Set Name	Sub No.	Interested Party	Summary of Submission	Response
			<p>physical characteristics of rural areas, good place to raise kids, sense of community, social networks, importance of dwelling type.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Marked growth in the numbers of second homes concentrated in certain rural and coastal areas. Significant increase in the number of long term vacant dwellings in such areas.</li> <li>- Effective environmental design can provide a valuable tool in mitigating some of the impacts of rural dwellings.</li> <li>- Scattered rural housing presents additional costs such as maintaining minor roads, supplying electricity, school transport and postal services. Costs are generally passed on to the wider community. Economic costs must also be evaluated in terms of perceived social benefits.</li> <li>- Some evidence that in-migration can encourage investment, however this appears more complex and less inevitable than sometimes presumed.</li> <li>- Local elected members overwhelmingly proactive in relation to further rural housing. This view is framed in the context of sustainable communities, sustaining viable rural population levels and maintaining local services</li> </ul> <p>Report states that policy implications would include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Planning policy that reflects the diversity of rural Ireland</li> <li>-Need to develop integrated, holistic and multidimensional approaches to rural sustainable development.</li> <li>-Need to understand residential behaviour</li> <li>-need to move beyond development control to a more positive planning response.</li> <li>-Good design plays a key role in mitigating some of the visual and environmental impacts of rural housing.</li> <li>-Need a more interactive deliberative communication between decision makers, technical experts, other stakeholders and the public.</li> <li>- Need to identify new instruments which encourage the delivery of sustainable rural development.</li> <li>- Need improved data in relation to numbers, distribution uses and impacts of second homes.</li> <li>- Report encourages further research in areas of rural housing affordability, implications of rural housing and an aging society, supply-side issues and technological innovation in relation to improving environmental performance.</li> </ul>	



## Appendix B

### Mallow EA Stakeholders Meeting ( Buttevant, 09 February, 2010.)

#### Attendance:

Brid O'Sullivan, Health Service Executive South  
 Brid Coakley, Muintir Na Tire  
 Sean Hegarty, Avondhu Blackwater Partnership  
 David O'Grady, Ballyhoura Development Group  
 Lillian Sheehan, Buttevant Heritage Group  
 John Lee, Buttevant Community Council  
 Karen Mc Donnell, Cork County Childcare Committee  
 Sean Kelly, Bweeng Community, Cork Community & Voluntary Forum  
 David O'Toole, Chairman Buttevant Tidy Towns

---

#### Buttevant

- Need to develop heritage potential of the town for tourism.
- Opportunity to develop a town park and river walk
- Derelict properties detract from amenity of town and create a bad impression.
- High levels of unemployment
- No direct access to the M20 – need go directional signage for Buttevant.

#### Bweeng –

- 83 houses have been built on a swamp. The facilities included in the permission - supermarket, crèche etc. have not been built. Council needs to prevent this happening in the future through phasing.

#### Dromahane

- No amenities for the population, no place to go except one small hall. Up to 200 houses – no green area to play soccer or football.

#### Killavullen

- Community not listened to when 2005 plan prepared .
- Community should have more input into the zoning of their village.
- Amenity walkway required on site overlooking Blackwater River.
- Consider rezoning residential land for amenity uses to cater for the needs of the village.

#### Community Facilities

- Primary Care building in Mallow great asset for this Electoral area.
- Rural areas require more recreational facilities including illuminated walkways and playgrounds – opportunities for exercise.
- Some childcare facilities are not viable. Review of the Mallow LAP should identify need for new facilities.
- Multipurpose and multifunctional buildings required in communities – childcare and/or youth club – could support old people activities in the evening.
- Unacceptable that developers come and build houses and the community are then left with the task of funding community facilities.
- Many community halls are in poor condition.
- Need facilities for older people
- Difficult to motivate communities - community empowerment courses needed.

#### Other issues

- Unfinished housing estates a huge problem in area and a big issue for the community.
- Significant investment needed in water supply and water treatment facilities in the electoral area.
- Role of councillors in making decisions. Trying to please members of the community on one hand and developers on the other which is very difficult. Need to plan what is good for the community so as to encourage pride in the area.